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- omnibus questions about curriculum design, effectiveness, etc., appear most
  influenced by factors unrelated to learning
  Worthington, 2002
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The gold standard: Randomized, controlled experiments

Carrell & West, 2008

United States Air Force Academy assigns students to instructors at random in core courses, including follow-on courses. All sections have identical syllabi and exams.

Student evaluations are positively correlated with contemporaneous professor value-added and negatively correlated with follow-on student achievement.

That is, students appear to reward higher grades in the introductory course but punish professors who increase deep learning (introductory course professor value-added in follow-on courses).

Braga, Paccagnella, & Pellizzari, 2011

Randomized assignment of students to instructors at Bocconi University, Milan

in other words, teachers who are associated with better subsequent performance receive worst evaluations from their students.
McNell, Driscoll & Hunt, 2014: Gender Bias

NC State online course.

Randomized assignments of students into 4 groups.

2 instructors, 1 male 1 female.

Each instructor was identified to students by actual gender in 1 section, false gender in 1 section.

Regardless of actual gender, substantially higher ratings when each instructor was identified as male, even for "objective" measures, e.g., speed of returning homework.

5-point scale.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adjective</th>
<th>F - M</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Caring</td>
<td>-0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consistent</td>
<td>-0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enthusiastic</td>
<td>-0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>-0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feedback</td>
<td>-0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helpful</td>
<td>-0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knowledgeable</td>
<td>-0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Praise</td>
<td>-0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional</td>
<td>-0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prompt</td>
<td>-0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respectful</td>
<td>-0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Responsive</td>
<td>-0.61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

http://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/setNCSCB15.htm
Male students in particular tend to give higher overall satisfaction scores to male teachers, rewarding them for their perceived higher quality in course delivery style. ... Male teachers can increase their SET scores by investing more effort in the characteristics that male students tend to value more. However, female teachers must invest more effort improving the teaching dimensions in which students tend to perceive a slight comparative advantage for women, i.e. course structure, organization and teaching material....

The results suggest that better teaching is not necessarily measured by SETs.
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I once believed that narrative comments on course evaluation forms were straightforward and useful.

Faculty & students ascribe quite different meanings to words such as "fair," "professional," "organized," "challenging," & "respectful."

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>not fair means</th>
<th>student %</th>
<th>instructor %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>plays favorites</td>
<td>45.8</td>
<td>31.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>grading problematic</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>49.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>work is too hard</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>won't &quot;work with you&quot; on problems</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>other</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

http://thekeep.eiu.edu/jcba/vol0/iss10/48
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- Rebut straw man positions:
  - Students cannot make consistent judgments.
  - Student ratings are just popularity contests.
  - Students will not appreciate good teaching until they are out of college a few years.
  - Students just want easy courses.
  - Student feedback cannot be used to help improve instruction.

- The two non-absolutist statements they reject are demonstrably true:
  - Student ratings are unreliable and invalid.
  - The time of day the course is offered affects ratings.

- The remaining statement they reject is true, in my experience as a teacher and department chair:
  - Emphasis on student ratings has led to grade inflation.
    See also Ewing, 2012; Isely & Singh, 2005; Krautmann & Sander, 1999; McPherson, 2006
Recommendations

1. Drop omnibus items about "overall teaching effectiveness" and "value of the course"

2. Do not average or compare averages of SET scores: Such averages do not make sense statistically. Instead, report the distribution of scores, the number of responders, and the response rate.

3. Responders are not a random sample and there's no reason their responses should be representative of the class as a whole: do not extrapolate.

4. Pay attention to student comments but understand their limitations and heed differences in language usage.

5. Avoid comparing teaching effectiveness across courses of different types, levels, sizes, functions, or disciplines.

6. Use teaching portfolios as part of the review process.

7. Use classroom observation as part of milestone reviews.

8. To improve teaching and evaluate teaching fairly and honestly, spend time observing teaching & teaching materials.