

Eastern Illinois University

## The Keep

---

Minutes

Faculty Senate

---

12-8-1992

### December 8, 1992

Faculty Senate

Follow this and additional works at: [https://thekeep.eiu.edu/facsen\\_mins](https://thekeep.eiu.edu/facsen_mins)

---

#### Recommended Citation

Faculty Senate, "December 8, 1992" (1992). *Minutes*. 1436.  
[https://thekeep.eiu.edu/facsen\\_mins/1436](https://thekeep.eiu.edu/facsen_mins/1436)

This Minutes is brought to you for free and open access by the Faculty Senate at The Keep. It has been accepted for inclusion in Minutes by an authorized administrator of The Keep. For more information, please contact [tabruns@eiu.edu](mailto:tabruns@eiu.edu).

## Faculty Senate

Minutes of December 8, 1992 (Vol. XXII, No. 15)

Called to order: by Chair Ed Marlow at 2:00 p.m., BOG Room, Booth Library.  
Present: William Addison, Gary Aylesworth, David Carpenter, John Craft, Marietta Deming, Craig Eckert, Gary Foster, Michael Goodrich, Robert Jorstad, Jane Lasky, Linda Leal, Ed Marlow, John Miller, Richard Wandling.  
Absent: Matthew Monippallil.  
Visitors: Vice President Hill, Dr. Quivey, Dr. McCormick, Dr. Gosselin, Mr. D. Putney, Mr. C. Karwowski, *Daily Eastern News*.  
Minutes: A motion (Carpenter/Foster) to approve the Minutes of December 1, 1992 with the following amendments, passed (13-0-1).  
I.B. Sen. Carpenter also emphasized the lack of a "recursive review process" in the adoption of the core; that rather than the university community receiving the "whole machine," it received only "parts of the machine." He further stated that the final approval of the core was made by two individuals in Old Main.

IV. Mr. Wolf stated that transfer students must meet 30 hours of community college and have a 2.0 or better.

### I. COMMUNICATIONS AND RESPONSES

- A. Memo from President Jorns, discussing a committee to deal with the benchmarking project of operational and administrative costs, 12/1/92.
- B. Communication from the Naples Institute in regard to a Campus Leadership Conference on Higher Education, February 17-19, 1993.
- C. Copy of the House Joint Resolution concerning the limits that are imposed on the IBHE by state statute.

### II. APPOINTMENTS

#### A. FACULTY EXCELLENCE AWARDS

A motion (Addison/Leal) to submit the following nominations to serve on the Faculty Excellence Awards Committee passed (13-0-1):

Dr. John Miller, Music; Dr. William Addison, Psychology; Dr. John Best, Psychology; Dr. Norbert Furumo, Chemistry; Dr. Vincent Gutowski, Geology/Geography.

#### B. CONTINUING EDUCATION COORDINATING COUNCIL

A motion (Addison/Jorstad) to nominate Dr. David Carpenter, English, to the Continuing Education Coordinating Council passed (13-0-1).

### III. COMMITTEE REPORTS

#### A. FACULTY ADVISORY COMMITTEE (FAC)

Dr. Quivey attended the November 23 open hearings at the Westin Hotel. He stated that the hearings were broken into two sessions and the preponderance of the hearings were specific to the proposed IBHE "hit list" and program defenses. He stated that there were several presentations. He further noted that Dr. Laurent Gosselin was the only EIU representative that he knew of to make a presentation. He added that Mitch Vogel did not make a presentation that afternoon, but would be granted time at the next IBHE meeting. He reported that most of the statements discussed inadequacies and inaccuracies in the data. He further reported that the Board staff simply did not want to hear about "bad data," viewing such talk as "diversionary."

Dr. Quivey stated that the Board meeting was the next morning and was well attended. He reported that the only budget publicly defended was the Illinois Student Assistance Commission, and they requested a 41% increase, with the preponderance to go to MAP programs (justified on the basis of the federal government's changing conception of "need"). Dr. Quivey mentioned that one board member objected to sick leave payments. He then reported that Mr. Wagner talked about course-specific high school titles, arguing that titles are "insignificant, content is important." He noted that several people made regular reports. He added that Mr. Quern announced that the IBHE will seek from the Legislature more authority. Chair Quern also took strong exception to the view that ISAC represents "welfare to private colleges" and vowed that he would not eliminate such a program while he is chair. He further stated that Mr. Quern said that when the next round of budget allocations are made, he will assume that the initiatives had been taken. He then reported that Chicago State and Western have both received a \$400,000 bonus because of their positive response to PQP. He further stated that the IBHE had prepared a 14 page response to the letters directed to the hit list.

Dr. Quivey reported that at the FAC meeting in the afternoon Mr. Quern, Drs. Wallhaus and Pao were in attendance. He stated that Mr. Quern said that he recognizes that the schools that go into the POP with a lot of "fat," are the schools that will do better than schools that go into the process very lean. He noted that Dr. Wallhaus used the term "comparable alternatives," meaning that they have to free approximately the same dollars as the identified programs; they have to be "mission appropriate" and "functional equivalents." Dr. Quivey added that Mr. Quern expressed considerable disappointment that faculty on many campuses apparently have been little involved in the PQP process, and his assumption was that faculty had been involved. Dr. Quivey further stated that Mr. Quern reiterated that campuses generating savings have those savings returned to them, but he also said that the IBHE will not be able to control that. Dr. Quivey further related that Mr. Quern lauded Governor Edgar for holding firm on the last higher education budget in the face of extreme pressure from other agencies; and he made it clear that new taxes are not likely in the near future and that even if a tax increase were somehow passed, higher education would not be in a good position to reap benefits; too many other agencies are in worse financial shape. Any new monies would be at the level of inflation at best. He reported that Mr. Quern said that faculty need to realize the terrible reputation they have among legislators, that many legislators are convinced they don't do enough work.

Dr. Quivey stated that the UPI had indicated that the IBHE's position on PQP was softening, but it is not his impression that is the case. Senator Craft asked if there was any way of responding to the statement that legislators don't think that faculty do very much. Dr. Quivey responded that there was, possibly inviting Board members and legislators to come to campus and attend meetings. Senator Marlow asked about the statement "IBHE staff doesn't want to hear anything about bad data." Dr. Quivey said that they thought we shouldn't be quibbling about data. Senator Foster inquired about the two schools that received a bonus for their positive response to PQP. Dr. Quivey responded that they did receive a bonus and asked Dr. Hill for the amount. She answered that she believed it was \$400,000. Senator Foster asked if other schools could receive this type of bonus. Dr. Hill responded that she believed that it was a one time recognition. Senator Carpenter asked if this was a recurring bonus. Dr. Hill stated that she believed it was. She added that President Jorns made a case that EIU had

reallocating \$200,000, but it was primarily salary increases, and he was told by Dr. Wagner that there was opportunity for other universities to receive this bonus. Chair Marlow expressed the concern that if EIU would carry out the PQP initiatives, that we would consistently be cutting programs. Dr. Quivey agreed that this was a problem with asking faculty to make cuts. Senator Marlow noted concern that if we keep eliminating programs, we could end up with very few programs. Dr. Hill reminded the Senate that it is not just the programs we are looking at. Senator Lasky asked what was the purpose of the PQP, and what does the IBHE have in mind. Dr. Quivey responded that those issues had never been explicitly articulated.

Senator Deming mentioned that when the PQP documents first appeared, out of 25 issues, only one of those is being addressed, and wondered when they were going to get to the other 24 that have to do with things other than instruction programs. Dr. Quivey stated that we have all focused attention to the program cuts. He then noted that the community college articulation is in process now, and their administrative staff is being studied. He added that the Board staff has laid a lot of groundwork. Senator Deming said that it is "scary" when it is done without input and with inadequate information. She further stated that it is like the IBHE has a "hidden agenda" and we haven't found out what it is yet.

Senator Marlow asked when the next FAC meeting will be and Dr. Quivey responded that it will be on January 4.

#### B. COUNCIL OF FACULTIES

Sen. Jorstad reported on the latest COF meeting at Chicago State University. NEI will have "University Day" in March. Chicago State has a new Associate Provost, Sandra Westbrook, from the BGU system office. They also have a new VP in the Business area. CSU had the largest percentage increase in enrollment of any college in the U.S. At this meeting it was reported that Chancellor Layzell was in favor of the IBHE proposal to implement budget cuts if the relevant administrations failed to do so as long as the IBHE doesn't infringe on the BGU system. Sen. Jorstad concluded his remarks by noting that there was some discussion of the "Super Senate" and the COF wanted to examine the BOG program and the MA in Administration, both of which are BGU system programs. Sen. Carpenter inquired as to what specifically had been discussed regarding the "Super Senate"? Sen. Jorstad replied that it had merely been mentioned in passing.

#### C. PHYSICAL PLANT DIRECTOR SEARCH COMMITTEE

Sen. Goodrich reported that the search committee had met under Dean Shirley Moore and the next scheduled meeting would be Thursday, Dec. 17, 1992 at noon to devise an evaluation form for rating candidates. The committee had received 30 applicants thus far and expects somewhere around 100.

#### D. ASSOCIATE VPAA SEARCH COMMITTEE

Sen. Wandling informed the Senate that Terry Weidner was the last candidate for the position and the committee would meet tomorrow at 4 p.m. to consider all the evaluations that had been returned. The committee was then to send forth the names of three candidates (unranked), accompanied by written narratives to VP Hill.

#### E. UNION BOARD

Sen. Miller reported that the Union Board has scheduled the first of two proposed "fine dining experiences" for sometime in January.

He also told the Senate that he had just received the names of three Student Senators for appointment to the Faculty Senate-Student Senate Relations Committee and would try to have a meeting scheduled for the "very beginning of the semester."

#### G. SUPER SENATE

Chair Marlow mentioned that EIU was the only BGU school consistently attending the Super Senate (SS) meetings. The SS' most immediate goal is to set up a face-to-face meeting with Gov. Edgar in March to discuss, among other things, the early retirement proposal to which the U of I seems so adamantly opposed (i.e., its best, senior people will "jump ship"). In addition, the SS is currently working on its fourth draft of its organizational charter.

### IV. NEW BUSINESS

#### A. COMPUTERIZED REGISTRATION QUESTIONS

Sen. Addison asked Sen. Miller, as a representative of the CAC, why the computerized registration system couldn't be used to ensure that a student had the specific prerequisites for classes requiring the latter. Sen. Miller said that he would take that up with the CAC but that in the past the answer had been: 1.) the current system is "unwieldy" and 2.) with the movement to a computerized advising system in the next few years, "the problem might be taken care of...."

#### B. DISCUSSION WITH VP HILL

Sen. Jorstad asked VP Hill if there had been any response regarding faculty involvement in PQP. VP Hill replied that Pres. Jorns has scheduled a 2-day CUPB retreat that would, among other things, review the University's mission description. In passing, she also remarked that the EIU's "focus statement" was due in to the BGU office by Feb. 1, 1993. Sen. Carpenter then asked whose idea it had been to change from "mission description" to "focus statement." Sen. Hill responded that it was the IBHE Board staff. VP Hill next reported on the concern raised at a previous Senate meeting about Lake Land offering core curriculum classes at Charleston H.S. She noted that a community college can deliver a course within its district, as long as it has the approval of its Board of Trustees. Historically, this had been done in the past, with Life Science courses, for example. Sen. Miller commented that the Board seemed to be very interested in junior colleges. Furthermore, he suggested that our "feeder students" from junior colleges may be different from those going on to other schools. VP Hill said that we have "great relations" with junior colleges, especially Lake Land and Parkland, and that the Chairs had worked very hard with respect to articulation. She maintained that we continue to strive for "consistency in articulation." Sen. Carpenter mentioned that Sen. Miller seemed to have "reservations" about the junior colleges and asked what they were. Sen. Miller replied that he had "no reservations," he was merely interested in seeing the demographic characteristics of transfers going to the different BGU schools. Sen. Jorstad informed the Senate that the COF had a subcommittee examining the status of junior colleges and articulation.

C. TEXTBOOK RENTAL SYSTEM DISCUSSION

A motion (Carpenter/Addison) to bring the motion regarding the Faculty Senate/ Student Senate Textbook Rental System subcommittee off the table was passed (11-3-0). Discussion followed. Sen. Aylesworth reiterated his feelings from the last meeting, arguing for the Faculty Senate/Student Senate Relations Subcommittee to study the TRS, report back to the respective parent bodies and pass recommendations on to Pres. Jorns. As part of their consideration, he stated that he would expect the FS/SS Sub. to seek input from other campus bodies. Sen. Addison stated that one group that was conspicuously absent from the FS/SS Sub. was graduate students. It was important that they be included since they are affected by any decisions made once the recommendations are acted upon. Sen. Miller remarked that he saw the FS/SS Sub being used as a forum for organizing study, "the presentation of issues" and reporting back to the Faculty and Student Senates. Sen. Addison agreed that the Sub. should do that and offer recommendations. Chair Marlow reminded the Senate that it would be imperative that the Sub. ascertain the cost of the TRS, that to some extent, had been hidden in the past. Sen. Aylesworth stated that it was vital for the FS/SS Sub. to offer "concrete recommendations." Sen. Foster restated his concern from the previous Faculty Senate meeting: if we seek "broad-based support" then it is imperative that the FS/SS Sub. be "more representative," especially since the TRS is more than "just an academic issue." Sen. Aylesworth responded that he agreed in principle but adding more people to the Sub. might "muddy the waters," or make it "more complicated" than it needed to be. Sen. Foster agreed that it might "muddy the waters" but that wasn't a reason not to have the Sub. be more representative. Sen. Goodrich affirmed Sen. Foster's concern and expressed reservations about the Feb. 10, 1993 deadline, only some 3 1/2 weeks after the start of the spring semester. Sen. Deming stated the FS/SS Sub. as constituted left "too many disenfranchised" and "to avoid problems" down the line it would be better to begin with campus-wide representation. Sen. Eckert voiced support for Sens. Goodrich, Foster and Deming and noted that if the Faculty Senate is truly interested in "process," it should seek greater campus representation. Sen. Wandling stated that perhaps abolishing the TRAC would be the place to start. However, since he did not see that as realistic possibility, he supported Sen. Aylesworth's proposal, especially in light of the spate of recent letters in the DEN. Sen. Lasky expressed support for both Sen. Foster's and Sen. Aylesworth's views in that she wanted a committee that "was small enough to work" but one that would have "broader representation." Sen. Carpenter said that he was at a loss to see how the FS/SS Sub. as constituted disenfranchised anyone. He didn't see other councils at EIU currently concerning themselves with the TRS. Sen. Miller again expressed his commitment as a member of the FS/SS Sub. to solicit input from other campus bodies.

At this point Sen. Addison suggested the following friendly amendment (Addison/Eckert) to the motion, a representative committee to study the TRS as follows: 2 Faculty Senators; 2 Student Senators; 2 representatives of CAA (1 faculty, 1 student); 2 representatives of CGS (1 faculty, 1 student); and an ex-officio member (the Dean of SAS). Discussion ensued. Sen. Aylesworth argued that the TRS involved academic and economic issues as well as faculty-student relations. Thus, the Faculty Senate, being a "representative and deliberative" body is the appropriate forum for considering the TRS. What is done with the FS/SS Sub.'s recommendations is another matter entirely. He emphasized his position that he still didn't think there was a need for more representatives at this point. Sen. Foster interjected his feeling that there is a big

difference between being invited to share your perspective and being part of the deliberation. In the spirit of collegiality and inclusiveness, it was Sen. Foster's opinion that the Faculty Senate should be "reaching out to other councils" with respect to the TRS issue. Sen. Addison agreed with Sen. Foster, noting that "having input isn't the same as the right to vote." Moreover, he believed that it was important that the Senate "get something done regarding this issue" and "get more people involved right now." The motion to accept Sen. Addison's friendly amendment was defeated (2-6-6).

A motion (Miller/Leal) to charge the FS/SS Subcommittee with providing recommendations for the composition of a committee to study and make recommendations regarding the TRS by Jan. 26, 1993 passed unanimously.

Sen. Carpenter passed out proposed changes in the Senate Constitution. A motion (Jorstad/Goodrich) to table consideration of the proposed changes passed (9-3-1).

A motion (Miller/Deming) to endorse VP Hencken's suggestion that an Enrollment Management Committee be formed passed unanimously.

A motion (Jorstad/Eckert) to adjourn passed unanimously. The meeting adjourned at 3:52 p.m. The Senate will meet on Tuesday, January 12, 2:00 p.m. in the BOG Room of Booth Library.

TENTATIVE AGENDA ITEMS: Faculty Concerns, Textbook Rental System, Discussion with Chancellor Layzell

Respectfully submitted,  
Craig M. Eckert  
Billie Rawlings