

1974

February 26, 1974

Faculty Senate

Follow this and additional works at: https://thekeep.eiu.edu/facsen_mins

Recommended Citation

Faculty Senate, "February 26, 1974" (1974). *Minutes*. 561.
https://thekeep.eiu.edu/facsen_mins/561

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Faculty Senate at The Keep. It has been accepted for inclusion in Minutes by an authorized administrator of The Keep. For more information, please contact tabruns@eiu.edu.

E A S T E R N I L L I N O I S U N I V E R S I T Y

FACULTY SENATE

Minutes of February 26, 1974

MEMBERS PRESENT: Maurer, Wiseman, Katsimpalis, Price, Owens, Weidner, Trank, MacLaren, Shuff, Knott, Keiter, Hackler, Thorsen, North

MEMBERS ABSENT: Doughty

FACULTY VISITORS: R. Haberer, J. Rubin

STUDENT VISITORS: Craig Sanders

The minutes of 5 February, 1974, and 12 February were approved as published.

DISTINGUISHED FACULTY CRITERIA REVISION

A motion was made by Price, seconded by North to accept the revision of the distinguished faculty criteria. Motion passed unanimously. This revised criteria for nomination of distinguished Faculty members will be distributed separately.

COMMUNICATIONS

1. Memorandum to Faculty Senate from President Fite concerning change-over terms of committee members for UPC, COTE, CAA and others. The memorandum will be discussed under New Business.
2. Letter to Chairman of Faculty Senate from Bert R. Biles, Conference Co-coordinator for Faculty evaluation and development. A meeting on these issues will be held at Kansas State University on April 30, May 1, 2, 1974.
3. Minutes of the Council on University Budget for February 19, 1974.
4. Memorandum to Faculty Senate from C. Switzer concerning guidelines for election of Department Chairman. A motion was made by Owens, seconded by North to publish the statement. Motion passed unanimously.

The UPC has asked the Senate to include this statement from the guidelines for election of department Chairmen (dated 22 October, 1972 Office of the President).

"The person elected shall serve a three-year term and is eligible for re-election." Other procedures relating to the election of Chairmen but not included in the guidelines are available in the office of the provost.

5. Memorandum to Faculty Senate from K. Hadwiger concerning the NCA questionnaire asking for personal information.
6. To Faculty Senate from President Fite concerning salary increases and special merit.
7. Memorandum to Faculty Senate from W. Schlauch concerning appointments to Research Council.

8. Phone call to Dr. Maurer from President Fite concerning publicizing requests for Faculty nominees to the University Research Council.

SENATE CHAIRMAN

1. Maurer reported on the expenditures authorized by him for sending educational materials to libraries in developing nations.

OLD BUSINESS

1. Institutional Renewal - Action deferred at this time.
2. Student evaluation of Faculty. The Senate Sub-Committee on Student affairs will be meeting with representatives of the Student Senate.
3. Owens reported on the progress of nominations for the various Faculty Committees and Councils. Faculty members who do not have the necessary nomination petitions should contact J. Knott in Placement.
4. COTE proposal on Membership change. A motion was made by Shuff, seconded by Knott that we approve the proposal for the COTE change in membership. A motion was made by Katsimpalis, seconded by Price to table the motion. Motion passed 8 Yes, 2 No, 4 abstentions. The Senate invited R. Leathers to discuss with the Senate the proposed change.

NEW BUSINESS

1. Change in beginning term of Committee memberships. A motion was made by Weidner, seconded by North, to approve the change outlined in the President's memorandum dated 21 February, 1974. (See Appendix A) The Senate deferred action upon the motion in order to allow comment from the faculty.
2. Institutional Renewal. A motion was made by Knott, seconded by North that the Executive Committee appoint an ad hoc Committee to study continuation of the program on Institutional Renewal. Motion passed 10 Yes, 3 abstentions.
3. Kansas State University Conference on Faculty evaluation and development. A motion was made by Katsimpalis, seconded by Trank to send J. North to the Kansas State University Conference. The Faculty Senate will pay the Conference expenses. The Senate will contact the UPC and Student Senate to see if they wish to send a representative. The Senate will pay the expenses of their Faculty Representatives. Motion passed unanimously.
4. Admission Fees - Senate Chairman, Maurer, asked T. Katsimpalis, F. MacLaren, and D. Price to consult with members of the administration about the elimination of Admission Fees. A motion was made by MacLaren, seconded by Weidner to place on the Agenda for discussion the President's decision to remove the tenure requirement for eligibility on the UPC.

The meeting adjourned at 1550.

Robert C. Wiseman
Faculty Senate Secretary

AGENDA

1. Budget Committee functions.
2. Saltmarsh's proposal on Institutional Renewal.
3. Student request for increased student membership on CAA. (Tabled)
4. COTE Re-organization.
5. Removal of Tenure requirement for eligibility on UPC.
6. By-Law change to commencement of Terms for new members of various elected Committees.

Next Senate meeting 5 March, 1974, in the Wabash-Embrassas Room, University Union.

GENERAL FACULTY MEETING WILL BE HELD MARCH 7, 1974, IN THE UNION BALLROOM AT 2:00 P. M.

APPENDIX A

From time to time, I have raised the question about the beginning term of members elected to the UPC, the COTE, CAA and other university councils. It seems to me that it would greatly improve the efficiency of our committee structure if the terms of newly elected members would begin with the opening of this fall semester. Committees usually have a number of important matters under consideration during the spring semester. Some of these questions need attention right up to the end of the school year and sometimes beyond. It seems to me that the best efficiency would result if the same committee members continued to serve until the end of the year.

I would appreciate it if the Senate would consider this matter. I would be glad to discuss it with the Executive Committee or the full Senate.

If the Senate should agree with this suggestion, it would mean that present committee members would continue in their present assignments until the end of summer school. In other words, some present committee members would have somewhat longer terms than normal. However, the newly elected committee members would have regular terms beginning with the fall semester. As I said, I think this would improve the operation of our committee system by not having the new committee members take office at a time when the committees may well be in the middle of important discussions.

Gilbert C. Fite