

1973

April 9, 1973

Faculty Senate

Follow this and additional works at: https://thekeep.eiu.edu/facsen_mins

Recommended Citation

Faculty Senate, "April 9, 1973" (1973). *Minutes*. 530.
https://thekeep.eiu.edu/facsen_mins/530

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Faculty Senate at The Keep. It has been accepted for inclusion in Minutes by an authorized administrator of The Keep. For more information, please contact tabruns@eiu.edu.

E A S T E R N I L L I N O I S U N I V E R S I T Y

FACULTY SENATE

Minutes of April 9, 1973

MEMBERS PRESENT: Whalin, Trank, Maurer, Elliott, Owens, Lenihan, Doughty, Downs, MacLaren, Smith, Johnson, Knott, Katsimpalis

MEMBERS ABSENT: Wiseman, Shuff

FACULTY VISITORS: A. Blankenbaker, J. North

STUDENT VISITORS: J. Idoux, J. Barr, J. Dunn

The minutes of April 2, 1973 were approved as published.

COMMITTEE REPORTS

1. Chairman Lenihan reported on the CIO Meeting held April 3, 1973.
2. Owens presented the results of the Faculty Senate Elections held Friday, April 6, 1973. Elliott moved, Doughty seconded, to approve and publish the results as contained in the report. The motion passed unanimously. (See Appendix A)
3. Whalin reported the deadline for nominations for the Distinguished Faculty Awards Monday, April 16, 1973. He urged that all nominations be sent to him as soon as possible.

COMMUNICATIONS

1. Minutes from the Council on University Planning.
2. Memorandum from G. Riegel containing a suggestion for membership on the Library Committee.
3. A memorandum from L. Thorsen, Chairman, Council on Faculty Research, concerning procedures followed by the Council in evaluating applications for research grants. Knott moved, seconded by MacLaren, to publish the memo. Motion passed unanimously. (See Appendix B)
4. Minutes from Council of Faculties were received. Dr. Rommel had previously reported on meetings.
5. Memorandum from E.I.U. Insurance Committee. Owens moved, seconded by Downs, to approve, publish, and forward report to President Fite. The motion passed unanimously. (See Appendix C) A motion was made by Maurer, seconded by Knott that the memo include the concept that there be a limited number of insurance companies approved to have annuity contracts with University staff but the number be larger than the current approved list of companies. The motion passed with 10 yes, 1 no, and 2 abstentions.

There was no old or new business to come before the Senate.

A motion by Maurer, seconded by Katsimpalis, expressing Senate appreciation to retiring Senate members passed with 8 yes, 5 abstentions.

The meeting adjourned at 1:00 p.m.
 The next meeting will be held on Monday, April 16, 1973, at 12:00 noon.

Wayne S. Owens
 Secretary, pro tem

Appendix A

TO: FACULTY SENATE

FROM: SENATE ELECTIONS COMMITTEE

The following are the results of the final election held April 6, 1973.
 (Those names followed by an asterisk have been elected)

FACULTY SENATE

Betty Campbell	110
George Hackler*	147
Betty Hartbank*	151
Richard Keiter	136
Louise Murray*	145
John North*	157
Dalias Price	144
Mary P. Rogers	133
Larry Thorsen	135
Terry Weidner*	200

COUNCIL ON ACADEMIC AFFAIRS

Gloria Ceide*	160
William E. Green*	155
Jerome J. Rooke	104
Samuel J. Taber	143
James Quivey	129
Steve Whitley*	185

COUNCIL ON GRADUATE STUDIES - A&S

Walter Lazenby*	96
Richard L. Smith	71

UNIVERSITY PERSONNEL COMMITTEE

Dale Downs	174
Margaret Soderberg*	180

COUNCIL ON GRADUATE STUDIES - NOT A&S

Louis Grado*	96
Raymond McKenna	72

Faculty Senate Elections Committee:
 Dale Downs
 Robert Shuff
 Calvin N. Smith

Wayne S. Owens
 Wayne S. Owens
 Chairman, Faculty Senate
 Elections Committee

Appendix B

TO: Faculty Senate

FROM: Laurence Thorsen, Chairman
Council on Faculty Research

SUBJECT: Procedures followed by the Council in evaluating
applications for research grants

DATE: April 6, 1973

In response to the Faculty Senate's request, the Council on Faculty Research herewith informs the Senate of the procedures followed by the Council in evaluating applications for research grants.

The Council on Faculty Research is by nature and by mandate a deliberative body. It exists to allocate funds budgeted by the University for faculty research. Members serve staggered three-year terms, with two new members appointed each year by the Faculty Senate. The amount of money made available to the Council for allocation varies from year to year. For these reasons, the Council has no firm, written set of guidelines for allocating funds, nor should it have such guidelines. Each application is different, and must be judged individually on its merits.

Were each application to be evaluated according to a strict set of guidelines, there would be no possibility of evaluating it on its merits, many deserving proposals would not be funded, and there would be no need for a deliberative body such as the Council.

The Council has, however, established precedents that it follows in evaluating proposals:

1. Each member of the Council, as well as the ex officio Director of Research, receives a copy of each proposal for study before the Council meets to evaluate them.
2. Each proposal is discussed at length and evaluated according to the demonstrated need for the amounts requested in each line item, and according to the overall merits of the proposal.
3. Because of the small amount of funds budgeted for faculty research, the Council has consistently refused to fund requests for personal salaries, travel to professional meetings, and travel to present requests for external funds.
4. Individual line item requests may be reduced or cut out entirely, or the entire application may be denied.
5. In evaluating each proposal according to its merits, the Council takes into account the following:
 - a. The extent to which the proposed research is original research, the results of which are

likely to be of interest to the applicant's discipline as a whole rather than to Eastern Illinois University or the researcher's department alone;

- b. The likelihood that the results of the research will be publishable;
- c. The feasibility of the research proposal, as evaluated by members of the applicant's department as well as members of the Council;
- d. The availability of funds at the time the proposal is considered;
- e. Whether the proposal might be eligible for funding by an outside agency;
- f. The advisability of effecting an equitable distribution of research funds among all departments of the University.

Appendix C

April 2, 1973

To: The Faculty Senate, Dr. Lenihan, Chairman
From: E.I.U. Insurance Committee,
G. Rommel, Chairman

In compliance with the request of Dr. Ben Morton in his Report N. 218 to the Board of Governors, February 22, 1973, for a restudy of the retirement annuity plan, and at the Faculty Senate's request, the E.I.U. Insurance Committee met today to discuss whether the Board of Governors should arrange tax-sheltered annuity contracts with more than the five companies used since July 1, 1964. The Committee recommended unanimously that:

- (1) the Board increase the number of such contracts by inviting suggestions from employees as to the names of companies the employees would like to use and that
- (2) the Board undertake a study of those companies to provide a comparison of the chief features of each contract to help the employee in his choice.