### **Eastern Illinois University**

# The Keep

Plan B Papers

**Student Theses & Publications** 

1-1-1967

# How Counselors View the Seriousness of Selected Behavior Problems of the Classroom

Gerald E. Marshall

Follow this and additional works at: https://thekeep.eiu.edu/plan\_b

#### **Recommended Citation**

Marshall, Gerald E., "How Counselors View the Seriousness of Selected Behavior Problems of the Classroom" (1967). *Plan B Papers*. 528.

https://thekeep.eiu.edu/plan\_b/528

This Dissertation/Thesis is brought to you for free and open access by the Student Theses & Publications at The Keep. It has been accepted for inclusion in Plan B Papers by an authorized administrator of The Keep. For more information, please contact tabruns@eiu.edu.

### HOW COUNSELORS VIEW THE SERIOUSNESS OF

### SELECTED BEHAVIOR PROBLEMS OF THE CLASSROOM (TITLE)

BY

### Gerald E. Marshall

B. S. in Education, Eastern Illinois University, 1966.

### PLAN B PAPER

SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE MASTER OF SCIENCE IN EDUCATION AND PREPARED IN COURSE

Education 592

IN THE GRADUATE SCHOOL, EASTERN ILLINOIS UNIVERSITY, CHARLESTON, ILLINOIS

1967 -

I HEREBY RECOMMEND THIS PLAN B PAPER BE ACCEPTED AS FULFILLING THIS PART OF THE DEGREE, M.S. IN ED.

August 2, 1967

DATE

ADVISER

DEPARTMENT HEAD

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The writer wishes to thank Dr. Carl Green, my adviser, Dr. Curtis Garner and Dr. William Crane for their consideration and suggestions in writing this paper.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Pa	ge
TABLE	OF GRAPHS	1
CHAPTE	IR I Introduction	14688
CHAPTE	R II Review of Related Research 10	С
CHAPTE	R III Interpretation of Results 1 Conclusions 1 Recommendations 1	3 5 6
BIBLIC	GRAPHY17	7

## TABLE OF GRAPHS

TABLE	I	-List of Items on Questionnaire i
TABLE	II	-List of Stouffer's Plan A Comparison ii
TABLE	III	-List of Stouffer's Plan B Comparison iii
TABLE	IV	-Rank Order of Questionnaire Items iv
TABLE	V	-Table of Comparisons of Counselors, Teachers, viii and Mental Hygienists
TABLE	VI	-Ranking by Weight of the Questions on the $\mathbf{x}$ Questionnaire
TABLE	VII	-Teachers (Form A) vs. Test Group xi
TABLE	VIII	-Mental Hygienists vs. Test Group xii
TABLE	IX	-Teachers (Form B) vs. Test Group xiii
TABLE	X	-Sample Letter of Instructions Used and the xiv Questionnaire

#### CHAPTER I

#### INTRODUCTION

The basis for this study centers around the fact that the very existence of behavior problems is designated by personal or social attitudes. Society dictates social orders to its members, and those who deviate from the group's appropriate modes of behavior are classed as having behavior problems. The human problems or disorders which exist in children have a close proximity to socialpathological problems of the adult. "The very designation of undesirable conduct, and the attitudes toward the child in consequence of this become stimuli for the child and determinants of his behavior." The individuals who are considered problems are behaving abnormally according to society. Actually, the behavior problems represent conflicts between the individual's behavior and social requirements for behavior. If the requirements of personal behavior did not differ from society's concepts of approved behavior, then we would not have behavior problems. The idea of "behavior" as it is used here is a socially-evaluated and socially-

<sup>1</sup> E. K. Wickman, <u>Children's Behavior and Teacher's</u>
Attitudes (New York, 1928), p. 4.

regularized product. In this idea we are confronted by the need for an organization to aid in the process of projecting to the youth the former responsibilities of the parent to elicit and develop in the progeny the mores, customs, and attitudes of society. The organization which has inherited this vital job is the educational system—more accurately, the teacher.

From the report of teachers on the occurrence of undesirable behavior in their pupils it appears that teachers are most aware of those problems which affect the child's application to school tasks. Teachers are more sensitive to overt types of behavior and aggressive personality traits than they are to the personal problems of children which do not interfere directly with the purpose of teaching. These findings are suggestive of the determinants of teacher's attitudes toward child behavior.

There are considerable differences in the individual sensitivities of teachers to behavior problems in their pupils. It is evident that any objective study of the behavior problems of a child must take into consideration the personal equation of the adult who declares the child's conduct unacceptable or difficult and who may be directly involved in the production of the behavior disorder.

It is the teacher's own attitudes toward standards of behavior which are used to judge and to alter or compliment the attitudes of the students under their scrutiny.

The starting point of any discussion of a student's behavior disorders can be the way in which a parent or teacher designates the behavior problem in the child. At this point it can be said that the actual observance

42.3

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup><u>Ibid.</u>, p. 50.

<sup>2&</sup>lt;sub>Ibid</sub>.

of undesirable conduct becomes a stimulus for attitudes which are determinants of his behavior.

In more recent years have come various ideas and hypotheses for the existence of such behavior problems. Clinicians of all fields have offered solutions. Some deal with the child's present attitude as compared to the care he received as an infant. Too much consideration has always been placed on the child and the distress brought on by the behavior. Educators can not delude themselves as adults and teachers any longer by considering a student's behavior separately from the attitudes taken toward the conduct. One must consider these two together to be able to fully understand the intricacies of the situation.

The problem behavior as seen by the teacher is not always that behavior which mental hygienists would designate as being most detrimental to the life of the student. The counselor may be the individual whose position dictates a more dramatic realization of the influence misbehavior has on the life of the student. The teacher can be, and often is, concerned about the immediate effect of the conduct because of its effect on the class, whereas the counselor should be concerned with the effect of the present conduct on the student's life.

#### NEED FOR THE STUDY

One of the purposes of counseling is to understand and to be able to recognize behaviors which are not in accord with what is known as "normal behavior." The counselor must be able to recognize behavior which deviates from the normal or that which clinicians and psychologists relate to us as being deviants from the approved normal.

In regard to a portion of selected problems from the list of behavior problems used in Stouffer's study, he states that the following problems cause the teacher the most irritation: "disobedience, impudence or rudeness, impertinence or defiance, disorderliness in class, profanity, smoking, masturbation, heterosexual activity, obscene notes and talk, and being unsocial or withdrawing." 1

It would appear that these problems, all of which seem to represent an objective type of behavior, might be thought of as problems that outrage the teacher's moral sensitivities and authority or that frustrate their immediate teaching purposes. According to the ratings of the mental hygienists, however, only the "unsocial, withdrawing" behavior could, with reasonable certainty, be considered as representing a serious future to the school child's stability.<sup>2</sup>

In assessing the total picture of the attitude of teachers and those of mental hygienists toward the behavior problems of children, one cannot but wonder if there are not in conventional school practices certain things that aggravate and promote

George A. W. Stouffer Jr. Ed.d., "Behavior Problems of Children as Viewed by Teachers and Mental Hygienists," Mental Hygiene, XXXVI (April, 1952), p. 271-85.

<sup>2</sup> Ibid.

the development of behavior problems. would appear that our present traditionbound school, with its regimentation and its regimented teachers, of necessity fosters behavior that is pathological from a mentalhygiene point of view. If this is true, who is to accept the responsibility for the teacher's attitude? The teachers in question make the natural mistake - owing, no doubt, to practical schoolroom conditions - of evaluating children's behavior in terms of good order recognition of authority. On the other hand, the psychologist, the psychiatrist, and the psychiatric social worker think in terms of the effects of behavior in the long Teachers are expected to maintain reasonable order, and in doing this, at times make the mistake, from a mental-hygiene point of view, of favoring withdrawing behavior and ruthlessly suppressing overtly aggressive (symptomatic) behavior without thought of the consequences thereof. 1

Stouffer stated later that data tend to support the idea that "eventual agreement or similarity of attitudes in the two professional groups" will come about.

In counseling individuals, one must understand their problems and be able to recognize them as such.

The counselor is a specialist in counseling pupils and helping teachers understand their pupils. He should be able to help teachers appraise a pupil's progress, intellectual potential and growth, social developments, and emotional adjustment. It also would be desirable for him to be able to help teachers diagnose learning problems and make plans for appropriate remedial instruction. He helps normal children solve their problems and identifies others who require more specialized treatment than he is qualified to give.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1&</sup>lt;u>Ibid</u>., p. 284.

<sup>2</sup>Merle M. Ohlsen, <u>Guidance Services in the Modern</u> <u>School</u> (New York, 1964).

#### PURPOSE OF THE STUDY

The aim of this study was to determine to what extent practicing counselors can recognize behavior that is not, according to clinicians and psychologists, characteristic of well-adjusted individuals. There was evidence from prior studies that teachers do not always recognize problem behavior in the students in their class-"The majority of the items listed by teachers as rooms. undesirable represented what children do rather than what they fail to do." Indeed they did not have the training to recognize it in the individuals they encountered in classroom situations. If the graduate preparation in counseling is adequate the counselor should have a closer understanding of the problems which youth have. Stouffer attributes the increase in teachers' ranking to better teacher training and the introduction of child development courses and psychology to the programs of teacher education. It may be noted that another study by George A. Pinckney "This data would tend substantiates Stouffer's hypothesis: to substantiate the hypothesis that psychology courses may play a significant role in the formation of teacher's attitudes toward childhood behavior problems."2 Thus

<sup>1</sup>Stouffer, p. 282.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>George A. Pinckney, "Changes in Student Teacher's Attitudes Toward Childhood Behavior Problems," <u>Journal</u> of Educational Psychology, LIII (Dec., 1962) p. 278.

this study was concerned with whether or not counselors recognize problem behavior more readily than do teachers. In stating the null hypothesis we would state that the counselors will rate lower on a correlation coefficient rating scale than do teachers of the classroom to mental hygienists in the evaluation of the fifty behavior problems of the classroom. The behavior problems that were used are those by Wickman and Stouffer in their study.

#### PROCEDURE

The counselors were those who are full-time guidance counselors in Illinois schools as obtained from a random sampling of counselors obtained from the <u>Directory</u>

<u>Supplement - Illinois Secondary Teachers - Illinois Schools</u>, 1966-1967, published by the Office of the Superintendent of Public Instruction.

The questionnaire was sent with the set of instructions given in Table X. The list was of fifty behavior problems used in E. K. Wickman and Stouffer's studies.

The teachers and mental hygienists were those who appeared in Stouffer's study done in 1952. The term "mental hygienist" includes psychologists, psychoanalysts, and psychological social workers.

A group of 210 practicing guidance counselors were selected at random throughout Illinois to rate selected behavior problems. The list of fifty selected items on the questionnaire was the same as that Wickman and Stouffer used and appears in Table I.

The counselors were asked to indicate the degree of seriousness of the behavior problems listed. The scale was made up of a continuum of five degrees from "not serious" to "gravely serious."

#### TREATMENT OF DATA

The collection of data, by use of the questionnaire, required determining the rank order of the list of behavior

problems on the questionnaire. Out of the 210 questionnaires sent, 137 were received. Each question put in has a possible weighting of the behavior problems from one to five indicating the degree of seriousness from not serious to gravely serious. A total score for each behavior was determined by accumulating the respective numerical weightings of each question. This was accomplished by the I.B.M. 1620 computer in the Date Processing Center of Eastern Illinois University, and the results are shown in Tables V and VI. In Tables VII, VIII, and IX are the computations which determine the coefficient of rank correlation (rho) between the respective groups mentioned in each table. Table VIII is the comparison between counselors and mental hygienists. Tables VII and IX merely show the correlation between the test group (guidance counselors) and the teachers given two sets of instructions.

The rank order coefficient of correlation (<u>rho</u>) was obtained from Table VIII. The formula used was Spearman's coefficient of rank correlation.

$$P = / - \frac{6 \times D^2}{N(N^2-1)}$$

The formula used to obtain the confidence limits of the coefficient of correlation was:

$$T.01 = P - \frac{N-2}{1-p_2}$$

$$\frac{T_{.o.}^{2}}{T_{.o.}^{2}+N+2} \ge P \ge \sqrt{\frac{T_{.o.}^{2}}{T_{.o.}^{2}+N-2}}$$
These give the confidence limits at the 1% (.01) level.

#### CHAPTER II

#### REVIEW OF RELATED RESEARCH

Several previous studies have been made in this area.

The work of two men is structurally parallel. First,

E. K. Wickman, in his work, "Children's Behavior and Teacher's Attitudes," draws a camparison between teachers and mental hygienists as to how closely teachers view the seriousness of certain behavior problems of children in the classroom as compared to the way mental hygienists rank them. He found that on many items, the teacher's rankings were far different from those of the mental hygienists. The correlation between teachers and mental hygienists was—

.ll. He was criticized for giving the teachers different instructions than he did the mental hygienists. He gave similar instructions to the teachers, and they did rank higher, but not appreciatively higher.

Stouffer in 1952 repeated the same experiment. He found that the teachers were closer to the ranking which mental hygienists set up than they were in 1928 in Wickman's study. Stouffer found that the correlation was .52, but when the writer computed the correlation of this same data, found it to be .58 for the form A. Form B giving the teachers the same instructions as were the counselors ranked

.61. This total increase from Wickman's study he attributed to better training on the part of the teachers. The addition of psychology courses in the teacher training program was largely responsible for this was another of Stouffer's explanations.

Several other studies have been made in this area. These studies are similar to Stouffer's, being based primarily on Wickman's terms.

The results of Yourman's study in 1932 confirmed Wickman's finding that teachers considered aggressive behavior and violations of moral standards as very serious problems. MacClenathan in 1934 found disagreement in behavior ratings by teachers and mothers in San Diego. Each group, however, rated as most serious those behavior problems that interfered most with the smooth functioning of the group's affairs. Laycock in 1934 reported that the ratings given by teachers of a western Canadian city to various children's behavior problems were in substantial agreement with those given by the teachers in the Wickman study.

Mitchell in 1940-41 compared teachers and mental hygienists' attitudes toward pupil behavior with Wickman's findings. The object of the study was to reveal possible changes in estimates of the seriousness of behavior problems by teachers and clinicians since Wickman's study and to find out whether teachers and mental hygienists had come closer together in their estimates. results showed that mental hygienists had changed their ratings of some traits in the conservative direction and that teachers were somewhat closer to the mental hygienists, especially in their ratings of non-aggressive traits, than were the teachers in the Wickman study. For example, the teachers in 1940 considered behavior indicating that a child was unsocial, fearful, over-critical of others,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>A. C. Miranne, Jr., "Teachers' Attitudes toward Behavior Problems of Children," Mental Hygiene, XLI (January, 1957), p. 5.

sullen, unhappy, resentful and easily discouraged more serious than had the Wickman teachers in 1926. On the whole Mitchell found that teachers had changed their attitudes more than had the mental hygienists. He found also that teachers had become more concerned about aggressive traits than the Wickman teachers had been. Nevertheless, it was clear that teachers and clinicians in 1940 still differed in their perception of behavior problems as well as in rating the degree of seriousness of these problems. Teachers continued to be more concerned with teaching conformity and respect for authority than with helping pupils meet their basic needs. I

Sparks in 1952 showed that varying amounts of experience had little effect on the attitudes of teachers toward behavior problems but that the amount of their education did affect ratings. Teachers with education beyond the bachelor's degree were closer to the clinicians in their appraisal of children's behavior than were teachers with less education.

A. C. Miranne Jr. found primarily the same results as did Wickman. His rank order correlation was .22.

These are concerned with teachers' attitudes but the writer chose to compare with the highest correlation of this group of studies which was Stouffer's.

l<sub>Ibid</sub>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Ibid., p. 5.

#### CHAPTER III

#### INTERPRETATION OF RESULTS

It has been believed that guidance counselors have a greater understanding of the problems of students and consider these problems differently than do teachers, as far as the degree of importance they ascribe to the student's life other than to the classroom. "Dr. Clovis Hirning (a part-time Psychiatric Consultant) conceives of the school counselor as the Watchdog of Mental Health in the school and as the guardian of individualization in education." According to Ohlsen, they are specialists. From these concepts the writer would assume that mental hygienists would be best qualified to determine the relative importance of behavior problems in the school situation, and that guidance counselors would be qualified to assess those problems in a similar manner.

Thus, one would expect counselor's views of problems to approximate those of the clinicians at least as closely as would those of teachers.

However, the results of this study show in Table VIII

<sup>1</sup>C. C. Dunsmoor, "Counselor--or What," <u>Personnel & Guidance Journal</u>, Oct. '64, p. 136.

that counselors' assessments of the seriousness of behavior problems are in less agreement with the criterion ratings from the mental hygienists than were perceptions of teachers in Stouffer's study.

Both <u>rhos</u> (.52 for teachers, as reported by Stouffer, and .48 for counselors) in this study were not significant at the .01 level.

The <u>rho</u> for counselors was not significantly smaller than that for Stouffers' correlation. It was noted earlier that when teachers in Stouffers' study were given the same instructions as the mental hygienists had been given, the coefficient of aggreement was .61. This, of course, is even higher than the coefficient used in the comparison in the preceding paragraph.

The null hypothesis, that counselors would rank lower than teachers in a comparison of the two to mental hygienists in a rank order comparison of fifty behavior problems of Stouffer's study, is accepted or tenable.

#### CONCLUSIONS

The conclusions from this study are these:

- 1. The counselors were not as able to note problem behavior as were teachers of Stouffer's study, to the mental hygienists of Stouffer's study.
- 2. The teachers of the previous study ranked closer to mental hygienists than did the counselors, meaning that Stouffer's teachers appear to be more able to recognize problem behavior according to Stouffer's mental hygienists than are counselors in this study.
- 3. It appears that some factors other than professional preparation are involved with the outcome of this study. If professional preparation was the cause of the low ranking, then it probably would not have been as low as it was since all people tested had teacher preparation and about ten per cent had not had actual teaching experience.

#### RECOMMENDATIONS

- 1. A study similar to this one should be made in a few years to confirm or disprove the findings.
- 2. The criteria that administration use to evaluate counselors should be examined to determine if counselors are being used to counsel students or as administrative aids.
- 3. The motives of teachers who are entering the profession should be questioned. Do successful teachers or unsuccessful teachers most often enter the profession of counseling, and what are their respective motives for changing their fields? It could be that many counselors are unsuccessful teachers, and what type of counselors could or would unsuccessful teachers make?
- 4. Since it appeared that the counselors lacked psychological understanding of these problems, more psychology courses should be required of the guidance majors.
- 5. It is apparent that some thought should be given to counselor training apart from course work. Practical experience in counseling individuals in an area which should be dealt with, so the counselor becomes acquainted with locating behavior problems.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Dunsmoor, C. C. "Counselor--or What," <u>Personnel & Guidance</u>
  Journal, (Oct., 1964), p. 136.
- Laycock, S. R. "Teachers Reactions to Maladjustments of School Children." British Journal of Educational Psychology, 4(1934), 11-29.
- MacClenathan, Ruth H. "Teachers and Parents Study Children's Behavior." Journal of Educational Sociology.
- Miranne, A. C., Jr. "Teachers' Attitudes toward Behavior Problems." Mental Hygiene, XLI (1957), 4-5.
- Mitchell, John C. "A Study of Teachers' and Mental Hygienists' Ratings of Certain Behavior Problems of Children." Journal of Educational Research, 36(1942), 292-307.
- Ohlsen, Merle M. <u>Guidance Services in the Modern School</u>. New York: Harcourt, Brace & World, Inc., 1964.
- Pinckney, George A. W. "Changes in Student Teacher's Attitudes Toward Childhood Behavior Problems."

  Journal of Educational Psychology, LIII (Dec., 1962)

  278.
- Sparks, J. N. "Teachers' Attitudes Toward the Behavior Problems of Children." <u>Journal of Educational Psychology</u>, XLIII (1952), 284-91.
- Stouffer, George A. W. "Behavior Problems of Children as Viewed by Teachers and Mental Hygienists." Mental Hygiene, XXXVI (April, 1952), 271-85.
- Wickman, E. K. Children's Behavior and Teacher's Attitudes, New York: Commonwealth Fund Publications, 1928.
- Yourman, J. "Children Identified by Their Teacher's as Problems." <u>Journal of Educational Sociology</u>, V (1932), 334-43.

APPENDIX

### TABLE II

A RANK-ORDER COMPARISON OF THE RATINGS BY STOUFFER'S TEACHERS (FORM A) AND MENTAL HYGIENISTS OF THE RELATIVE SERIOUSNESS OF 50 BEHAVIOR PROBLEMS OF CHILDREN

### Teachers (Form A)

# Mental Hygienists

1.	Stealing
2.	Cruelty, bullying
3.	Heterosexual activity
4.	Truancy
5.	Unhappy, depressed
6.	Impertinence, defiance
7.	Destroying school material
8.	Truancy Unhappy, depressed Impertinence, defiance Destroying school material Unreliableness
9.	Untruthfulness
	Disobedience
	Resentfulness
12.	Temper tantrums
	Unsocial, withdrawing
14.	Obsceme notes, talk
-	Nervousness
	Cheating
17.	Selfishness
18.	Quarrelsomeness
19.	Domineering
20.	Lack of interest in work
21.	Impudence, rudeness
22.	
23.	Suggestible
24.	Fearfulness

Unsocial, withdrawing Unhappy, depressed Fearfulness Suspiciousness Cruelty, bullying Shyness Enuresis Resentfulness Stealing Sensitiveness Dreaminess Nervousness Suggestible Overcritical of others Easily discouraged Temper tantrums Domineering Truancy Physical coward Untruthfulness Unreliableness Destroying school materials Sullenness Lack of interest in work

# TABLE II--Continued

# Teachers (Form A)

# Mental Hygienists

25.	Enuresis	Cheating
	Masturbation	Selfishness
27.	Laziness	Quarrelsomeness
28.	Inattention	Heterosexual activity
	Disorderliness in class	Restlessness
	Sullenness	Inattention
	Physical coward	Impertinence, defiance
32	Overcritical of others	Slovenly in personal appearance
33.	Sensitiveness	Tattling
34.	Carelessness in work	Obscene notes, talk
	Shyness	Laziness
36.	Suspiciousness	Stubbornness
37.	Smoking	Attracting attention
38.	Stubbornness	Thoughtlessness
	Dreaminess	Imaginative lying
40.	Profanity	Disobedience
	Attracting attention	Carelessness in work
42.	Slovenly in personal appearance	Masturbation
	Restlessness	Impudence, rudeness
44.	Tardiness	Inquisitiveness
45.	Thoughtlessness	Disorderliness in class
46.	Tattling	Tardiness
47.	Inquisitiveness	Interrupting
48	Interrupting	Profanity
49.	Imaginative lying	Smoking
	Whispering	Whispering
<b>→</b> • .	11 TT TO	also "Nagar"

#### TABLE III

A RANK-ORDER COMPARISON OF THE RATINGS BY STOUFFER'S TEACHERS (FORM B) AND MENTAL HYGIENISTS OF THE RELATIVE SERIOUSNESS OF 50 BEHAVIOR PROBLEMS OF CHILDREN

### Teachers (Form B)

### Unreliableness

Stealing

Unhappy, depressed Cruelty, bullying

Untruthfulness

Unsocial, withdrawing

7. Truancy 8. Impertinence, defiance

9. Cheating

10. Easily discouraged

Resentfulness 11.

Destroying school material 12.

13. Suggestible

14. Heterosexual activity

15. Domineering

16. Temper tantrums

17. Selfishness

18. Nervousness

19. Disobedience

20. Laziness

21. Impudence, rudeness22. Lack of interest in work

23. Fearfulness

24. Sensitiveness

### Mental Hygienists

Unsocial, withdrawing

Unhappy, depressed

Fearfulness Suspiciousness

Cruelty, bullying

Shyness Enuresis

Resentfulness

Stealing

Sensitiveness

Dreaminess

Nervousness

Suggestible

Overcritical of others

Easily discouraged Temper tantrums

Domineering

Truancy Physical coward

Untruthfulness

Unreliableness

Destroying school material

Sullenness

Lack of interest in work

# TABLE III -- Continued

# Teachers (Form B)

# Mental Hygienists

26.	Masturbation	Selfishness
	Overcritical of others	Quarrelsomeness
	Quarrelsomeness	Heterosexual activity
	Obscene notes, talk	Restlessness
30.		Inattention
	Slovenly in personal appearance	Impertinence, defiance
	Sullenness	Tattling
33.		Slovenly in personal appearance
	Shyness	Obscene notes, talk
35.	Suspiciousness	Laziness
36.	Inattention	Stubbornness
	Stubbornness	Attracting attention
38.	Tardiness	Thoughtlessness
39.	Disorderliness in class	Imaginative lying
	Dreaminess	Disobedience
	Thoughtlessness	Carelessness in work
42.		Masturbation
43.		Impudence, rudeness
44.	Inquisitiveness	Inquisitiveness
45.	Restlessness	Disorderliness in class
46.	Imaginative lying	Tardiness
47.	Tattling	Interrupting
48.	Interrupting	Profanity
	Smoking	Smoking
50.		Whispering

TABLE IV

# A TABLE COMPARISON OF THE GROUPS AS THEY RANKED THE QUESTIONS

	X(I)	Y(I)	Z(I)	W(I)
	Teachers Group B	Test Group Counselors	Mental Hygienist	Teachers s Group A
Unreliableness Stealing Unhappy, depressed Cruelty, bullying Untruthfulness Unsocial, withdrawing Truancy Impertinence, defiance Cheating Easily discouraged Resentfulness Destroying school material Suggestible Heterosexual activity Domineering Temper tantrums Selfishness Nervousness Disobedience Laziness Impudence, rudeness Lack of interest in work Fearfulness Sensitiveness Carelessness in work	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 1 2 3 1 4 5 6 7 8 9 2 1 2 2 3 2 4 2 5	15 31 58 426 80 17 126 80 163 163 118 118 118 118 118 118 118 118 118 11	21 9 2 5 20 18 18 31 25 8 2 18 20 18 10 21 20 34 20 34 20 41	8 1 5 2 9 3 4 6 6 6 2 1 7 3 3 9 2 7 5 0 7 2 2 2 2 3 3 4 3 3 4

TABLE IV--Continued

	X(I)	Y(I)	Z(I) W(I)
	Teachers Group B	Test Group Counselors	Mental Teachers Hygienists Group A
Masturbation Overcritical of others Quarrelsomeness Obscene notes, talk Enuresis Slovenly in personal appearance Sullenness Physical coward Shyness Suspiciousness Inattention Stubbornness Tardiness Disorderliness in class Dreaminess Thoughtlessness Profanity Attracting attention Inquisitiveness Restlessness Imaginative lying Tattling Interrupting Smoking Whispering	267890123456789012344567890 26789012345678901234567890	36 24 10 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16	42 14 17 18 14 27 34 7 33 31 42 43 43 43 44 45 47 49 49 49 49 49 49 49 49 49 49

Using Spearman's coefficient of rank correlation

TABLE V

The number of times each hash on the questionnaire was marked and their total value, with each column having a varied rating from one to five (A=1, B=2, C=3, D=4, E=5).

EXECUTION QUES	Α	В	C	D	E	VALUE
1 23 456 78 90 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 22 24 25	90621 111 115832315452094078055 111 115832315452094078055	30 62 19 39 47 18 31 92 22 73 40 23 15 15 15	135 455422514335345525534 55542251433534552142739	39 31 32 41 56 22 52 55 62 55 62 55 62 55 62 55 62 55 62 55 62 55 62 55 62 55 62 55 62 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56	130054353508866013159780 130054353508866013159780 35	2095872268555454559437544435 434355545455543752213097

TABLE V--Continued

EXECUTION QUES	A	В	C	D	E	VALUE
26789012345678901234567890 2223333333333444444444456	6020619434644876472422338	298737332243718448865163571 11224	43710584185848719367383355	50 10 19 13344221 1532146 153539 139 139 139 139 139 139 139 139 139 1	88102501521209169433145215	43725611592842375625608380 43725611592842375625608380

TABLE VI

RANKING BY WEIGHT

OF THE QUESTIONNAIRE

QUES	VALUE	RANK	QUES	VALUE	RANK
9287156048464374962325319 119	55555555555555555555555555555555555555	1 2 3 4 5 6 6 8 8 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 18 20 12 21 22 24 24 24	39 23 20 53 45 65 34 31 50 41 80 77 82 29 4	411 402 3386 3862 3777 3457 3333 3322 208 168	26 27 28 29 20 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 34 44 44 44

# TEACHERS (FORM A) vs. TEST GROUP

TABLE VII

EXECUTION X(I)	N Y(I)	D	D**2	EXECUTION EXECUT	Y(I)	D	D**2
8.0 1.0 5.0 9.0 13.0 16.0 12.0 17.0 19.0 17.0 19.0 17.0 17.0 21.0 21.0 21.0 21.0 23.0 21.0 23.0 23.0 24.0 23.0 24.0 25.0 26.0 27.0	15.00050050505050005050 15.0005005050500005050 12.689163418021180221 12.6891634180221	-7.0 -2.43.9 -20.5 -1.56.0 -1.33.0 -1.	49.00 4.00 16.00 9.00 25 81.00 4.00 4.00 4.00 56.25 25.00 1.00 25 225.00 1332.25 144.00 30.25 272.25 1.00 9.00 100.00 2.50 100.00 9.00 9.00 100.00 9.00 9.00 100.00 9.00 100.00 9.00 100.00 9.00	26.0 0 18.0 0 14.0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	36.0 21.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 10	-10.00000000000000000000000000000000000	100.00 64.00 9.00 16.00 81.00 49.00 132.25 72.25 4.00 81.00 0.00 20.25 225.00 1.00 30.25 9.00 324.00 81.00 9.00 625.00 1.00 49.00 1.00
SUM OF	' D**2 =	4920.00		R = .7	<i>∪)</i> (		

 $P=1-\frac{6 \mathcal{E} d^2}{N(N^2-1)}$ 

TABLE VIII

## MENTAL HYGIENISTS vs. TEST GROUP

EXECUTION	NC			EXECUTION	ON		
X(I)	Y(I)	D	D**2	X(I)	Y(I)	D	D**2
21.0 9.0 20.0 10.0 20.0 18.0 21.0 21.0 21.0 21.0 21.0 21.0 21.0 21	15.000050005005050500005050 15.31.58426.8726.891.6341.802.402.41.802.41.802.41.802.41.802.41.802.41.802.41.802.41.802.41.	6.0 1.0 0.5 1.0 0.5 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	36.00 36.00 1.00 0.00 132.25 9.00 256.00 272.25 4.00 16.00 240.25 625.00 132.25 196.25 196.25 4.00 729.00 121.00 1024.00 30.25 289.00 1056.25 0.00	42.0 14.0 27.0 34.0 33.0 19.0 19.0 138.0 138.0 138.0 138.0 138.0 138.0 138.0 138.0 138.0 138.0 138.0 138.0 138.0 138.0 138.0 149.0 1	36.0 24.0 10.0 16.0 18.5 18.5 18.5 18.5 18.5 18.5 18.5 18.5	6.0 -10.0 -24.0 -9.0 -2.5 -31.0 -6.5 -2.5 -3.0	36.00 100.00 36.00 576.00 81.00 20.25 40.00 42.25 961.00 42.25 961.00 42.25 289.00 20.25 100.00 676.00 36.00 289.00 289.00 289.00 289.00 289.00 289.00 289.00 289.00 289.00 289.00 289.00 29.00 20.25 20.00

TABLE IX

TEACHERS (FORM B) vs. TEST GROUP

]	EXECUTIO X(I)	N Y(I)	D	D**2	EXECUTION X(I)		D	D**2		
	1.0 2.0 3.0 9.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.	15.0000500050050500005050 15.000500505050500005050 28.712.68.991.63.143.041.800.500 18.00050050505050	-14.0 -10.0 -1	196.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 12.25 4.00 25.00 324.00 25.00 650.25 256.00 90.25 272.25 16.00 36.00 16.00 100.00 12.25 9.00 342.25 256.00	26.000000000000000000000000000000000000	36.0 21.0 10.0	-10.0 7.0 19.0 19.0 14.0 13.5 15.0 16.0 16.0 16.0 16.0 16.0 16.0 16.0 16	100.00 9.00 49.00 361.00 196.00 182.25 42.25 9.00 64.00 25.00 81.00 49.00 400.00 1.00 484.00 484.00 1.00 25.00 1.00 1.00 25.00 1.00		
	POLL OL	ש" " ב	5778.00		20 - V	R = -7225				

Street Charleston, Ill.
April 24, 1967

#### Dear Counselor:

The purpose of this questionnaire is to investigate the feelings of counselors toward selected student's behavior problems in the classroom. The problems are considered so because of their reoccurrence in the same individual. I am requesting you to rate these problems on a continuum or on a five-point scale between the extremes of "Not Serious" and "Gravely Serious." For example, if you were rating a term such as "Stealing," you may not believe it to be gravely serious but toward that end of the continuum, and you would mark it in this manner:

Not Serious Gravely Serious
1. === === === ===

The degree name will not appear above the line as it is in the example, but you are to answer as if they were in the position they are now in.

I am a graduate student at Eastern Illinois University majoring in Guidance and Counseling. I will consider the information that is sent to me as confidential and will be applying it only as it pertains to counselors as a group. If you would care to have a copy of the results, please enclose a note requesting so. I would appreciate a prompt reply.

Sincerely,

Gerald E. Marshall

This is to determine how you, a counselor, feel about the degree of seriousness of the behaviors listed below. Ma these as you view them on a continuum from not serious" to "gravely serious."

> Gravely Not 1

Se	rious	3		Serious			
•	===	===	===	===	222		

- 1. Whispering
- Interrupting
- 3. Imaginative lying
- 4. Inquisitiveness
- Profanity 5.
- 6. Dreaminess
- 7. Unreliableness
- 8. Sensitiveness
- 9. Unhappy, depressed
- 10. Untruthfulness
- 11. Laziness
- 12. Truancy
- 13. Nervousness
- 14. Cheating
- 15. Temper tantrums
- 16. Resentfulness
- 17. Heterosexual activity
- Carelessness in work 18.
- 19. Overcritical of others
- 20. Tardiness
- 21. Cruelty, bullying
- 22. Quarrelsomeness
- 23. Inattention
- 24. Enuresis
- 25. Shyness
- 26. Sullenness
- 27. Smoking
- 28. **Restlessness**
- 29. Thoughtfulness
- 30. Stubbornness

List continued on Page 2.

	IBM 5	107	PRIN'	TED IN	u. s.	Α.	
							_
<b>■</b>	DENTIFICATION	LNUMBER	,				
0 1 2	3 4	5	6	7	8	9	_
0 1 2	3 4	5	6	7	8	9	
===== =====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	_
0 1 2	3 4	5 ;====	6	7	8 	9	_
0 I 2	3 4	5 	6	7 =====	8	9	_
0 1 2	3 4	5	6	7	8	9	_
0 1 2	3 4	5 5	6	7	8	9	
=======================================	3 4	=====			8	=====	=
0   2	3 4	5 =====	6	7	=====	. 9	_
0 1 2	3 4	5 =====	6	7 =====	8	9	_
0 1 2	3 4 .	5	6	7	8	9	
0 1 2	3 4	5	6	7	8	9	
	=======================================	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	_
		1 :::::	=====	=====	=====	=====	_
		2	=====		=====	=====	
							_
		3 ====		=====	=====	=====	
		4	=====	=====	=====	=====	_
		5 ====	=====	=====	=====	=====	_
		6 ====	=====	=====	=====	=====	_
		7					_
	•			=====	=====	=====	_
		8 ====	=====	=====	=====	=====	_
		9	=====	=====	=====	=====	_
		10 ====	=====	=====	=====	=====	
		11 :::::	=====	=====	=====	=====	_
							_
		12	=====	=====	=====	=====	_
		13	=====	=====	=====	=====	
		14	=====	=====	=====	=====	_
		15 :::::	=====	=====		=====	_
							_
		16	=====	=====		=====	
		17	=====	=====	=====	=====	_
		18	=====	=====	=====	=====	_
		19	=====		=====	=====	_
		20					
			=====	=====	=====	=====	_
		21	=====	=====	=====	=====	_
		22	=====	=====	=====	=====	_
	٠	23	=====	=====	=====	=====	
		24 :	=====	=====	=====		=
							_
		25	===== ,	=====		=====	
		26	=====	=====	=====	=====	_
		27 ====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=
		28	=====				_
		29					
			=====	=====	=====	=====	=
		30	=====	=====	=====	=====	_
		31	=====		=====	=====	
		32				=====	
							=
			=====	=====	=====	=====	_
		34	=====	=====	=====	=====	
	•	35 ====	=====	=====	=====	=====	_
			=====	=====	=====	=====	
		37					_
	. •		=====	=====	=====	=====	_
			=====	=====	======	=====	_
xv		39	=====	=====	=====		

40 ---- ---- ----

P	а	g	e	2

Yrs.	experience	as counse	lor	
( Yr:	Male	Female	-	
Yrs.	of college	e education	1	
Yrs.	teaching o	other than	counseling	
Now	counseling	in -		
	H.S.	J.H.S.	Elem.	

- 1. Masturbation
- 2. Suspiciousness
- 3. Fearfulness
- 4. Disobedience
- 5. Selfishness
- 6. Domineering
- 7. Tattling
- 8. Stealing
- 9. Impertinence
- 10. Suggestible
- 11. Physical coward
- 12. Lack of interest in work
- 13. Slovenly in appearance
- 14. Impudence, rudeness
- 15. Attracting attention
- 16. Destroying school material
- 17. Unsocial, withdrawing
- 18. Obscene notes, talk
- 19. Easily discouraged
- 20. Disorderliness in class

	0		2	3	4	5	6 -	7	8	
=	====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	Ξ
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
Ξ:	===	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	Ξ
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
Ξ:	====	=====	=====	=====	=====	<del>,====</del>	=====	=====	=====	Ξ
	0	ŧ	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
	===	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	Ξ
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
=:	====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=
	0	ı	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
=:	::::	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=
	0		2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
		=====			=====			=====		=
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	_
=:			=====		=====			33333	=====	=
	0	1	2	3	4 .	5	6	7	8	
=:	====	=====	=====		=====		=====	=====	=====	=
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	

	5	=====	=====	=====	=====	
	5	6	7	8	9	_
	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	_
1	=====	=====	====	=====	=====	_
2	=====	=====	=====	. =====	=====	_
3	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	_
4	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	_
5	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=
6		=====	=====	=====	=====	=
7	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=
8	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	_
9	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	_
10		=====	=====	=====	=====	_
11	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	_
. —	=====	=====	=====	=====	- =====	=
13	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=
	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	_
	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	_
	=====	=====	=====	=====		_
• •	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	_
	=====	===== .	=====	=====	=====	_
	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	_
	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=
21		=====	=====	=====		=
	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	Ξ
	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=
	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	_
	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	_
	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	_
	=====	=====		=====	=====	=
	=====		=====		=====	_
		=====		=====	=====	_
		=====	=====		=====	_
	=====		=====	=====	=====	_
			=====	=====	=====	_
_	=====		=====	=====	=====	<u>_</u>
						=
						_
		=====				=
3/ 20				=====	=====	_

39 ::::: :::::

40 ---- ---- ----