

1973

January 29, 1973

Faculty Senate

Follow this and additional works at: [https://thekeep.eiu.edu/facsen\\_mins](https://thekeep.eiu.edu/facsen_mins)

---

#### Recommended Citation

Faculty Senate, "January 29, 1973" (1973). *Minutes*. 524.  
[https://thekeep.eiu.edu/facsen\\_mins/524](https://thekeep.eiu.edu/facsen_mins/524)

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Faculty Senate at The Keep. It has been accepted for inclusion in Minutes by an authorized administrator of The Keep. For more information, please contact [tabruns@eiu.edu](mailto:tabruns@eiu.edu).

E A S T E R N I L L I N O I S U N I V E R S I T Y

FACULTY SENATE

Minutes of January 29, 1973

MEMBERS PRESENT: Doughty, Elliott, Katsimpalis, Downs, Smith, Wiseman, Maurer, Knott, Johnson, Trank, MacLaren, Owens, Shuff, Lenihan

MEMBERS ABSENT: Whalin

STUDENT VISITORS: Tom Davenport, Allen Grosboll, Jerry Idoux

FACULTY VISITORS: A. Blankenbaker

The minutes of January 22, 1973 were approved with the following correction: Under Communications, the one memorandum from G. Rommel was concerned with Re-structuring University Councils.

COMMITTEE REPORTS

1. Downs reported on the Nominations Committee. Discussion centered around the election policies and procedures.

A motion was made by Owens, seconded by Smith, to reaffirm the 1970 document on election policies and procedures.

A motion was made by Owens, seconded by Shuff, to table the motion until the administrative manual can be consulted for clarification. The motion passed with 12 yes, 1 no, 1 abstention.

COMMUNICATIONS

1. Letter from Dr. James Holderman on Lincoln State University.
2. Computing Newsletter, Vol. 1, Number 5.

OLD BUSINESS

1. Student Bill of Rights. The concern of the Senate on the Student Bill of Rights is to express its position to the president on issues in which the Senate has major reservations. The following areas were identified for discussion:
  - Article II, Section 1
  - Article II, Section 3
  - Article III, Section 3
  - Article V, Section 3
  - Article VI, Section 3
  - Article VI, Section 5
  - Article VIII, Section 3
  - Article VIII, Section 6
  - Article XII, Section 1

2. Restructuring of University Councils. After much discussion, a motion was made to publish the report. Continued discussion resulted in tabling the action on the report for one week. Faculty comment is requested on the report.

A motion was made by Owens, seconded by Maurer, to publish the Ad Hoc Committee report and the minority report. (See Appendix A) The motion passed with 11 yes, 2 abstentions.

A motion was made by Maurer, seconded by Johnson, to table for one week (February 5) the report. The motion passed with 9 yes, 2 no.

The meeting adjourned at 13:55.

The next meeting will be held on February 5, 1973 at 12:00.

#### AGENDA

1. By-Laws
2. Restructuring of University Councils, February 5, 1973
3. Student Bill of Rights
4. Textbook Rental Plan, February 5, 1973
5. Criteria for Distinguished Faculty Award, February 5, 1973

Robert C. Wiseman  
Secretary

#### Appendix A

TO: Faculty Senate  
FROM: Ad Hoc Committee--Restructuring of University Councils  
DATE: January 22, 1973

The Ad Hoc Committee of the Faculty Senate charged with the revision of the Academic Councils (Council on Academic Affairs, Council on Graduate Students and Council on Teacher Education), after due consideration of the considerable number of recommendations and suggestions received from the faculty and administration, recommends:

I. Representation on the Academic Councils should be as follows:\*

	<u>CAA</u>	<u>CGA</u>	<u>COTE</u>
Arts and Sciences	4	2	3**
Education	3***	4	3***
Business	2	2	1
HPER	1	1	1
Music	1	)	1
Industrial Arts	1	) 2	1
Home Economics	1	)	1
Students	2	2	2
	<u>15</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>13</u>

No more than one member may be elected from a department or school.

Appendix A (cont.)

\* The rationale for the recommended representation is based partially on the number of degrees awarded in each of the areas during the last two academic years and the particular interest of each department or school in the council involved.

\*\* Representation should be based on the present division in the Council on Teacher Education:

- (1) One member from Group I of the Arts and Sciences Faculty(English, Foreign Languages, Philosophy, Speech, Speech Pathology and Audology, and Theater Arts)
- (2) One member from Group 2 of the Arts and Science Faculty (Art, Economics, Geography and Geology, History, Library Science, Political Science, Psychology, and Sociology-Anthropology)
- (3) One member from Group 3 of the Arts and Science Faculty (Botany, Chemistry, Mathematics, Physics, and Zoology)

\*\*\* Representation should be as follows:

- (1) One member from the Department of Elementary and Junior High School Education and Coordinators
- (2) One member from the Department of Secondary Education and Coordinators
- (3) One member from the remainder of the Faculty of Education

II. The Executive Officer (without vote) should be as follows for each Council:

- CAA -- Vice President for Instruction
- CGS -- Dean, Graduate School
- COTE -- Dean, School of Education

The Committee is of the opinion that action from each of the councils is channeled through the office of the Vice President for Instruction and that he is available at all time for consultation.

III. The Committee recommends that all members of the councils should be elected anew with the advent of restructuring with the following rotation plan to be implemented by the drawing of lots.

Year	CAA			CGS			COTE		
	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>
Arts and Sciences	1	2	1	1	0	1	1	1	1
Education	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	1
HPER	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Music	0	0	1	)			0	1	0
Industrial Arts	1	0	0	)	1	0	1	0	1
Home Economics	0	1	0	)			1	0	0

IV. The Committee dis not read a concensus on whether the elections should be confined to the schools or departments involved or should be held at large.

Respectfully submitted  
 June Johnson, Chairman  
 Tom Elliott  
 Fred MacLaren  
 Robert Shuff  
 Lynn Trank

Appendix A (cont.)

TO: Faculty Senate

FROM: Ad Hoc Committee Restructuring Councils (Lynn Trank)

DATE: January 23, 1973

1. University Councils should represent the University as a whole and not be "jerry-mandered" so that they favor special interests. In particular they should not be "fixed" so that they give representation to so-called schools that as constituencies are scarcely larger than the smallest departments. It is proposed that a member may be elected to a council with as few as six votes.

A council member should be elected because he has a University viewpoint and the interest and expertise necessary for a particular council. He should not be elected because he represents a certain discipline. If each discipline (school) needs to be represented then each department must logically have a seat on University Councils.

The councils are supposed to represent all members of the University. In democratic procedure it follows that each member of the University should get a chance to vote for each member of such councils.

The proposal dated 1-22-73 omits certain areas of the University which will not be able to participate in University elections, they are:

- a. The Department of Library
- b. The Lab School Mem-ers
- c. Administrative levels II, III, and IV. (Some at large membership would help these constituents.)

2. Rationale for apportionment based on degrees awarded in an area is a faulty premise. This rationale assumes that other areas did not contribute to the degree.

Apportionment if necessary should be based on equal divisions of those voting. It should not be based on anything else. Apportionment by Schools sets up a situation where one member of a council may be elected by six votes but another member may require one hundred and six.

3. Apportionment proposed by the Ad Hoc Committee will result in parochialism and restrict the University to the status quo of several years ago when the graduates counted chose their majors. This is not a way to build toward excellence tomorrow. (After all Fifteen Years of Excellence was enough.)

4. University councils should be elected at large.

No more than one member may be elected from a department, school, or non teaching area.

COTE may be restricted to those members of the University who teach, coordinate, or are professionally connected to this area.

Graduate Council may restrict its constituency to members of the Graduate Faculty.