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General Appropriations in Illinois

$1.3 Billion

0.5% of 1.3 Billion Set aside for performance based funding = 6.5 M

99.5% of 1.3 Billion = 1.29 B

Performance based funding model applied to each institution to determine the portion of these general funds allocated (0.5% ±)
### FY2012

**Universities with University Professionals of Illinois (UPI) Bargaining Units**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>General Appropriations (Millions)</th>
<th>General Appropriations Subject to Performance Based Funding Formula (Thousands)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chicago State University</td>
<td>39.2</td>
<td>196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern Illinois University</td>
<td>46.9</td>
<td>234.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Governors State University</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northeastern Illinois University</td>
<td>40.2</td>
<td>201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Illinois University</td>
<td>99.6</td>
<td>498</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Illinois University</td>
<td>55.5</td>
<td>277.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What else is happening in Illinois? At EIU?
In Illinois
“State general funds support for public universities as a percent of total educational and related revenues declined from 72.2 percent to 39.8 percent between fiscal years 1999 and 2014, while the share from tuition (university income funds) increased from 27.8 to an estimated 60.2 percent.” *IBHE 2015 Annual Report*

At EIU

The lower the state general fund contribution – the less effect performance funding formulas have on finances and negotiations. In 2014 EIU received approximately the same dollar amount as in 1999. Adjusted for inflation…I only have data back to 1973.
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Bargaining – Faculty Compensation - Funding

General funds decrease means tuition and fees must increase. Some factors limiting enrollment (tuition and fee income funds) include
1. Available students
2. Affordability
3. Competition

The trend starting in 2009 will continue.

With respect to high school graduates in Illinois (i.e. available students) “…the graduating class of 2028 will be 14 percent smaller than the class of 2009 (by 20,000 graduates).”  

*WICHE report on Illinois*
Illinois has the third highest net out-migration of college students to other states (28,000 students/year)

Illinois' higher education costs are about $3,000 more than the national average (College Board)
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All of these factors have hurt enrollment at the regional schools in Illinois.

Enrollments

- Tuition and fees (Income funds)
- $ Available
- Bargaining
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At EIU
• Performance based funding model - approximately $250 K in play
• Late March 2015 budget rescission for FY15 - approximately $1 M
  (General funds – 2.25 %)
• Governor proposed reduction of EIU budget for FY16 - approximately $13 M
  (General funds – 31 %)

A wild guess after all negotiations are done for FY16 budget - approximately $4 M
  (General funds – 10 %)

For the above reasons - performance based funding has not (yet) had an effect on bargaining in Illinois. But we are watching for at least two reasons.
House bill increases share of general funds subject to performance based formula from 0.5% to 5%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>General Appropriations (Millions)</th>
<th>General Appropriations Subject to 0.5% Performance Based Funding Formula (Thousands)</th>
<th>General Appropriations Subject to 5% Performance Based Funding Formula (Millions)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chicago State University</td>
<td>39.2</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>1.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern Illinois University</td>
<td>46.9</td>
<td>234.5</td>
<td>2.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Governors State University</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>1.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northeastern Illinois University</td>
<td>40.2</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>2.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Illinois University</td>
<td>99.6</td>
<td>498</td>
<td>4.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Illinois University</td>
<td>55.5</td>
<td>277.5</td>
<td>2.77</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
• Measurement of outputs in funding formula a culture shift.

• Universities → Colleges → Departments → Faculty

• IF performance based funding is here to stay, eventually it will affect faculty, contract and salary negotiations.

• IF performance based funding is here to stay, unions are likely to resist these pressures as much as possible.

Thank you for your attention!