

1971

May 4, 1971

Faculty Senate

Follow this and additional works at: https://thekeep.eiu.edu/facsen_mins

Recommended Citation

Faculty Senate, "May 4, 1971" (1971). *Minutes*. 463.
https://thekeep.eiu.edu/facsen_mins/463

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Faculty Senate at The Keep. It has been accepted for inclusion in Minutes by an authorized administrator of The Keep. For more information, please contact tabruns@eiu.edu.

E A S T E R N I L L I N O I S U N I V E R S I T Y
FACULTY SENATE
Proceedings of May 4, 1971

MEMBERS PRESENT: Katsimpalis, Trank, Lenihan, Whitlow, Downs, Funk,
Owens, Whalin, Nichols, Rawls, Barford, Elliott

MEMBERS ABSENT: Kluge, Shuff, Foreman

Student Observers Present: Grosboll, Greene

The meeting was called to order by Chairman, Roger Whitlow.
Mr. Whitlow announced the following corrections to the minutes
of April 26, 1971, under Old Business:

1. "It was moved by Lenihan and seconded by Rawls to approve the motion to amend Appendix A as requested by the Student Senate. The motion lost with 5 yes, 6 no, 2 abstentions."
2. "Mr. Barford offered a substitute motion as follows: Include Vice President Moody's statement as 'Institutional Rules in the Faculty Manual' and not to publish the AAUP Collection of statements."
3. "Mr. Lenigan then moved to adjourn. The motion to adjourn was defeated 4 yes, 5 no."
4. Item 4 was removed from the agenda. A motion was made by Downs, seconded by Trank to adopt the agenda as corrected. The motion passed unanimously.

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE REPORT

Mr. Whitlow reported that Monday from 12:00 noon to 2:00 p.m., the Heritage Room, University Union, had been scheduled through May, 1972, as the meeting place for the Faculty Senate with the possible exception of two Mondays. Information pertaining to teaching schedules and leaves for the summer term of members of the Senate was solicited by Mr. Whitlow in order that a schedule for meetings during the summer could be developed.

The University Personnel Committee has submitted recommendations concerning salary, sabbatical leave, and promotion of faculty to the Senate which was referred to the appropriate committee for consideration.

Mr. Whitlow announced Mr. Downs was appointed to the By-Laws Committee.

A motion was made by Whalin, seconded by Lenihan, to adjourn to executive session to consider a personnel matter. The motion passed with 9 yes, 0 no, 2 abstentions.

It seems probable that a few unresolved issues will remain after the Board of Higher Education meets on May 4 and 5 to react to the final draft. Should that be the case, the Faculty Senate may wish to prepare a position statement with regard to any such unresolved issues. There will be only about two weeks to do this before the Director of the Board of Higher Education staff must make his recommendations for consideration by the Board at its June meeting.

C. Two sets of the minutes were received from the Student Senate.

D. The following communication from Mr. Keppler, Chairman, Council on Academic Affairs:

TO: Dr. Whitlow, Chairman DATE: April 28, 1971
Faculty Senate

As my last official duty as the Chairman of the Council on Academic Affairs, I would like to request that the Faculty Senate establish clear coordinated and set procedures for newly elected members to major university councils with regard to their calendar date of taking office.

According to page 61. of the Administrative Manual, newly elected faculty members shall take office at the next meeting of the respective councils after publication of the new membership lists. It also states clearly on page 60. that current members of major university councils shall not be eligible for nomination to any other council unless their terms expire in the forthcoming election.

Yet, in two cases, I have seen where a newly elected member to the CAA in the spring was still on another major council because that council did not change membership until the fall term. Please let me state unequivocally that it is not the fault of the individual since technically his term does not end on one major council and is therefore eligible for election to a second and different council.

I would appreciate some type of clarification from the Senate on this point.

E. The following communication from D. J. Gent, Eastern Students Against the War:

TO: Dear Faculty Senate DATE: April 19, 1971

This letter is of a two-fold nature: informative and a request. During the seventeen days betw-en April 19 and May 5, there are several movements and groups making their statements against the war in VietNam. These protests are climaxed on two days, April 24 and May 5. This has already received the endorsement of the student senate and we would appreciate yours also. This is not to directly suggest that the faculty as a whole not hold classes on that day. We are sure that you, as individuals, will make the proper decision as to what to do that day. Such as having the class open to discussion on the war, perhaps not taking role, or scheduling tests on May 4 or 6 instead of May 5.

We would appreciate any comments or decisions that you have on the subject. Please send them to me: D. J. Gent, Eastern Students Against the War, 319 Thomas Hall, Sincerely.

Mr. Barford introduced the following motion, second by Whalin:

Whereas the war in Indochina has gone on for 10 years with great destructive effects in Indochina and corrosive effects on the politics, education, and national life of our country, be it resolved that the Faculty Senate go on record as urging immediate cessation of all U.S. military activity in Indochina coupled with the setting of a withdrawal date so that our prisoners may be released, our national life reconstituted, and the Vietnamese left to settle their own problems.

The motion was defeated 2 yes, 8 no, and 1 abstention.

Mr. Whalin introduced a motion, seconded by Barford, that the Faculty Senate go on record as supporting the Viet Nam war. Ensuing discussion revealed the motion was designed as test motion. The motion failed with 0 yes, 7 no, and 5 abstentions. By consensus, the abstentions wished their action to be a reflection of their opposition to even considering the matter.

F. A communication from Vice President Holt concerning proposed changes in rates for vehicle usage.

A motion was made by Funk, seconded by Rawls, not to publish the memorandum at this time. The motion passed with 9 yes, 0 no, and 2 abstentions.

G. The following communication from Vice President Schaefer:

TO: Dr. Roger Whitlow, Chairman DATE: April 23, 1971
Faculty Senate

The Buildings and Grounds Committee has served in the capacity of recommending names for University facilities. During the time in which I have been associated with planning, this has involved only the naming of new facilities.

I am forwarding a request from the Student Senate that either the Applied Arts-Education Building or the University Union be named after the late Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. The Council on University Planning received this request at the meeting held on April 21, with the recommendation that the request be forwarded to the Buildings and Grounds Committee for consideration.

Since the Faculty Senate is now in the process of reorganizing following the recent election, I would appreciate having you forward this request to the appropriate persons.

H. A communication from President Doudna describing the procedure for naming new buildings which has been in effect as policy at the University. President Doudna requested the memo not be published due to the commodities crisis.

OLD BUSINESS

Professional Duties

The Ad Hoc Committee on Professional Duties report was discussed and the following motion was made by Nichols, seconded by Downs; that the Faculty Senate adopt the committee's report as presented in the April 5, 1971, minutes. The motion passed with 10 yes, 0 no, and 1 abstention.

A motion was made by Funk, seconded by Lenihan, to refer Vice President Moody's recommendations for professional duties to a joint committee of the Faculty Senate and Council of Instructional Officers for revision. The motion passed with 9 yes, 1 no, and 1 abstention. A motion to adjourn, made by Rawls, seconded by Downs passed unanimously.

NEW BUSINESS

The next scheduled meeting of the Faculty Senate will be on Monday, May 10, 1971, from 12:00 to 2:00 p.m. in the Heritage Room of the University Union.

AGENDA:

1. Personnel Matters
2. Master Plan Phase III
3. Distinguished Faculty Award
4. Constitutional Amendments
5. Senate Constitution By-Laws
6. Classroom Visitation
7. Registration
8. Enrollment policy for Faculty

Wayne S. Owens
Secretary