

1970

April 27, 1970

Faculty Senate

Follow this and additional works at: https://thekeep.eiu.edu/facsen_mins

Recommended Citation

Faculty Senate, "April 27, 1970" (1970). *Minutes*. 429.
https://thekeep.eiu.edu/facsen_mins/429

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Faculty Senate at The Keep. It has been accepted for inclusion in Minutes by an authorized administrator of The Keep. For more information, please contact tabruns@eiu.edu.

EASTERN ILLINOIS UNIVERSITY
FACULTY SENATE
Proceedings of April 27, 1970

MEMBERS PRESENT: Hattabaugh, Palmer, Read, Holley, Hieronymus, Gover, Tingley, Whitlow, Green, Kluge, Whalin, Crane, Keiser, Spaniol.

MEMBERS ABSENT: Lahey.

The Proceedings of April 22, 1970, were approved as published.

REPORTS:

The Senate went into executive session to select the person who will receive the distinguished faculty award.

- A. Messrs. Gover and Keiser, for the Executive Committee, reported that they had consulted with the President last Friday about the creation of a one-third time position for a prospective candidate who would spend the remaining two-thirds of his efforts teaching history. It was the sentiment of the Senate that while there are excellent arguments for seeking qualified black faculty members at Eastern, possible salary differentials between them and others of equal qualification must be honestly explained in terms of the actual market situation. To justify possible salary differentials in any other way would be unfair to all members of the University Community. It was also pointed out that the President had expressed some concern about the cost of producing and distributing the Proceedings of the Senate. Paper alone, he said, had cost nearly \$15 last week.
- B. Mr. Whitlow, for the Nominations Committee, reported that nominations for student-faculty boards were now complete. It was moved (Crane) and seconded (Holley) to accept the nominations for student-faculty boards. The motion passed unanimously. The appointments are as follows:

Apportionment Board (Dennis Gross, Chairman)

Dan Foote
Paul Foreman (alternate)

Artists Series Board (James Robertson, Chairman)

John Roberts (alternate)

Lecture Series Board (Weldon Baker, Chairman)

Arlen Fowler
Allen Neff (replacing Daniel Koenig)
Charles Johnson (alternate)

Men's Athletic Board (Michael Goodrich, Chairman)

Dale Downs
Ned Williams (alternate)

Publications Board (Patrick Lenihan, Chairman)

Rebecca Wild

Jon Hopkins (alternate)

Frances McColl (replacing Marie Tycer)

Radio Board (Wayne Coleman, Chairman)

B. F. McClerren

Safety and Traffic Board (James Herauf, Chairman)

Gerald Pierson

Gary Gueldner (alternate)

C. Mr. Whalin, for the ad-hoc Committee on the Calendar, reported the results of the committee's deliberations. The following motion was made (Spaniol) and seconded (Kluge):

1. The Faculty Senate recommended that registration not be held on Labor Day for the following reasons:
 - a. The cost of registration and the opening of the residence halls is prohibitive as Civil Service employees receive premium pay for work on a holiday.
 - b. This could be accomplished by making summer pre-registration mandatory for fall quarter attendance. (125-225 students do not register at present.)

(Information from Mr. Graening: 150-200 readmitted students will register on Labor Day, 1970. . . . approximately 4-500 students will register for fall quarter on the second registration day.)

The motion passed unanimously. In discussion the following questions were raised: Why does the CAO make calendar decisions instead of an instructional group; whose policy is it that classes meet forty days, and what does the North Central say about the matter; what policies exist for determining the specific aspects of the calendar? It was moved (Crane) and seconded (Tingley) that the Senate recommend that the so-called "study-day" and one final exam day be counted as instructional days and that the remainder of the calendar be planned by the CAO. The motion passed by a vote of thirteen in favor and one present. Mr. Whalin also remarked that whatever sentiment had existed for a uniform nine-week summer quarter seems to have cooled.

D. At this point two questions related to business conducted at earlier meetings were raised. First, it was recalled that the Senate had agreed to evaluate the President's Advisory Council after one year to determine whether or not continued participation was advisable and/or how the council might be improved. It was remarked that the only observable benefit was the slight amount of additional information available to the Senate and the faculty through the Council. Others thought that the Council should meet regularly with a planned agenda discussed by the Senate ahead of time. It was suggested that

the President should be invited to attend the final one-half hour of Senate meetings on alternate weeks. It was observed finally that the President tends to dominate conferences rather than to create the impression that frank advice is welcomed. The Executive Committee was to consider these suggestions when some evaluation of the PAC is made. Second, it was asked if Mr. McKelvey had ever acknowledged having received the recommendation of the Senate regarding the chairman of the history department. The answer was that no acknowledgment had been received in writing, as is Mr. McKelvey's practice, and that at one point a reporter for the St. Louis Post-Dispatch informed the chairman of the Senate that Mr. McKelvey had denied receiving the report. After an inquiring 'phone call from the Senate, however, he had been able to locate the report. To this date, however, no written acknowledgment has been received

COMMUNICATIONS:

- A. From President Doudna, a memorandum which reads as follows: "I have decided that I should prefer not to continue making reappointments to the Council on Academic Affairs. I shall make an appointment this spring, since faculty elections are over, but should like the Senate to arrange for the necessary changes in procedures effective next year." It was moved (Kluge) and seconded (Tingley) that the Senate accept the President's decision to no longer appoint members of the CAA. The motion carried unanimously.
- B. From Mr. McKelvey, an acknowledgment of his having received a copy of the Senate's resolution on the position papers of the Board of Higher Education.
- C. From Mr. McKelvey, a letter acknowledging his having received a copy of the resolution passed by the faculty at open meeting on April 14, 1970, and expressing his intention to provide copies to each member of the Board if they have not already received one.
- D. From Mr. John Mullally, a memorandum requesting information on the Senate's disposal of the agenda item concerning policy statements of the Higher Board. He was referred to the appropriate section in the Proceedings in a memorandum from the secretary.
- E. From Mr. James Giffin, a letter expressing his hope that student wishes be taken into consideration for that portion of the Distinguished Faculty Award dealing with teaching skills.
- F. From Mr. Frank Schabel, a memorandum expressing the hope that some provision be made in the new constitution to provide for the voting status of faculty assistants. It was moved (Hattabaugh) and seconded (Palmer) that the matter of the voting status of faculty assistants be placed on the agenda. The motion carried by a vote of thirteen in favor and one against.
- G. From Vice President Zeigel, a memorandum covering a copy of the new University of Illinois parking proposal.

- H. From Vice President Zeigel, copies of memoranda to seven faculty members pointing out that they have accumulated numerous delinquent parking tickets. There was some comment about a larceny suit being brought against a company that towed a person's car away at another place.

NEW BUSINESS:

- A. It was moved (Gover) and seconded (Hattabaugh) to thank those Senators whose terms expire this year for their contributions to the Senate's accomplishment of a long list of specific business. Those Senators whose terms expire are Senators Hieronymus, Holley, Crane, Spaniol, and Whalin. The motion carried by a vote of nine in favor and five abstentions. It was remarked that whatever was accomplished was done without either secretarial help or released time.
- B. It was moved (Crane) and seconded (Kluge) to place the following items on the agenda: Travel expenses and faculty salary equalization. The motion carried unanimously.
- C. At this point the chairman was asked to contact the President and to ask the status of his eleven-and-one-half-month-contract proposal so that the faculty could be informed. Agreed.

OLD BUSINESS:

- A. It was moved (Tingley) and seconded (Crane) to adopt the following proposal to create a budget committee:

Proposal for the Formation of a University Budget Committee

The faculty finds authority for participation in budget formulation in the By-Laws, Governing Policies and Practices of the Board of Governors of State Colleges and Universities of Illinois, Section VI., 9.:

"The faculty organizations to achieve democratic participation may differ among the Universities but should generally have these functions:

- "d. To participate in preparing and administering the biennial budget. "
"f. To share in developing basic policies for the university. "

At the present time, the faculty organizations are not involved at any point in either the formulation or the administration of the budget. The only point at which the faculty are involved even informally is at the departmental level when requests are made, and this is done without any knowledge of available resources. Neither is information concerning allocations in various areas of the University made available openly at any point in the process of budget formulation or after budget formulation.

Therefore, it is recommended that a budget committee be formed with the following membership and functions.

Membership: President
Vice President for Business Affairs
Vice President for Instruction
Vice President for Administration
Vice President for Development
Budget Officer (ex-officio)
Faculty Senate Budget and Finance Committee (3 members)
One representative from the Personnel Committee

Functions:

1. The University Budget. The Budget Committee shall determine the overall requested budget for the University.
2. The University Internal Budget. The Budget Committee shall have the responsibility of reviewing, analyzing and approving internal budget requests. This would include the establishment of the percentages of the total budget which shall be allocated to line items or to the different areas of the university under the authority of the various vice presidents.

* * *

The motion passed by a vote of twelve for and one present.

B. It was moved (Tingley) and seconded (Crane) that the Senate oppose the proposed fee increase for athletics. The motion passed by a vote of eight in favor, three opposed, and two abstentions.

The meeting was adjourned at 1:52 p.m. The next scheduled meeting of the Faculty Senate is on Monday, May 4, from noon to 2 p.m. in the Heritage Room of the University Union.

AGENDA

- | | |
|---|--|
| 1. Evaluation | 11. Evaluation of Council of Faculties |
| 2. Selection of President | 12. Reorganization of Administrative Structure |
| 3. Recognition of Retiring Faculty Members | 13. Student Fee Increase for Athletics |
| 4. Grievance Committee | 14. Distribution of Funds for Salary Increases |
| 5. Proposal for University Government | 15. Budget Committee Proposal |
| 6. Budget for Higher Education | 16. Student Senate Resolution on Presidential Sabbatical |
| 7. Relationship of Senate to Council of Faculties | 17. Voting Status of Faculty Assistants |
| 8. Competitive Recruitment | 18. Travel Expenses |
| 9. Catalog Changes | 19. Faculty Salary Equalization |
| 10. Library Budget | |

John H. Keiser
Secretary