

1969

February 19, 1969

Faculty Senate

Follow this and additional works at: [https://thekeep.eiu.edu/facsen\\_mins](https://thekeep.eiu.edu/facsen_mins)

---

#### Recommended Citation

Faculty Senate, "February 19, 1969" (1969). *Minutes*. 384.  
[https://thekeep.eiu.edu/facsen\\_mins/384](https://thekeep.eiu.edu/facsen_mins/384)

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Faculty Senate at The Keep. It has been accepted for inclusion in Minutes by an authorized administrator of The Keep. For more information, please contact [tabruns@eiu.edu](mailto:tabruns@eiu.edu).

EASTERN ILLINOIS UNIVERSITY  
FACULTY SENATE  
Minutes of February 19, 1969

MEMBERS PRESENT: Smith, Ferguson, Green, Fagan, Spaniol, Rawls, Lahey, Price, Holley, Crane, White, Bouknight, Tingley.

MEMBERS ABSENT: Hieronymus, Gover.

Corrections to the minutes of February 12, 1969: On page 4, third paragraph, should be added, "The motion was approved 12 to 0."

The minutes of February 12, 1969 were approved as amended.

REPORTS:

- A. Ad hoc "Awards" Committee. Copies of the five-page report were distributed to Senate members and discussion was deferred until the meeting of February 26.

COMMUNICATIONS:

- A. From Dr. Stephen M. Horak, a copy of a letter to President Doudna describing the way in which Mr. Prybl's talk to students was concluded. The public address system was disconnected and the discussion period was eliminated.
- B. From Dean Anfinson, a note stating that enforcement of parking rules would be increased and an explanation of the methods used in distributing red decals. He mentioned that Vice President Schaefer is presently working with a committee studying traffic and parking.
- C. From Vice President Schaefer a draft copy of the Traffic and Parking Study being conducted by Harland Bartholomew and Associates with the caution that it is only an interim report and not a final recommendation. About 2/3 of the report is made up of tables and graphs. A tentative summary is included under the heading Traffic and Parking Policies. In edited form, these statements are made:
  - 1. "...the campus should be arranged as a large pedestrian complex uninterrupted by traffic arteries... The University should provide for the parking demand it generates. Public streets surrounding the campus should not be used for parking lots...
  - 2. Parking should be located in the following manner:
    - a. Parking for faculty and staff should be located as closely as possible to the building in which the staff member is located...
    - b. Parking for resident students should be located adjacent to the dormitories...

- c. Parking for commuter students can be located some distance away... preferably in places where such parking could also serve athletic events.
  - d. For visitor parking, a parking lot with a capacity of approximately 100 spaces should be reserved as close as possible to the Union building...
3. To the maximum extent possible, parking facilities provided should be screened from view and be as unobtrusive as possible...
  4. Economics dictate the almost complete reliance upon surface parking to provide for the needed parking demands. The estimated parking spaces needed for 1982 would occupy approximately 27 acres of land. (Existing parking lots occupy 16.1 acres.)...
  5. The fees charged for parking should apply to all parking provided by the University except for the visitors parking lot... Reasonably high fees will encourage car pooling, particularly for commuting students and will have the beneficial effect of acting as a brake on increasing parking demands.
  6. ...Garfield should be closed as soon as possible and a pedestrian separation considered for the intersection of Grant and Fourth. Other areas of conflict may be solved by traffic signals with 'walk' cycles."

It was mentioned that there is apparently no clear-cut parking plan in operation at the moment. Several groups are working on the problems of parking at present and the Senate has no direct responsibility for the matter. The suggestion was made that perhaps the Senate should have a voice in the decisions related to parking. The Chairman of the Senate will meet with the Executive Committee to appoint several Senate members to look into the matters currently under discussion by the several groups.

The figures included in the Bartholomew report are those used for other feasibility studies at the University and can be questioned as to accuracy. It seems that the makers of the report worked on the assumption that our present parking system is satisfactory while such an assumption as well as the collection of figures may be short-sighted in terms of the growth of the University. It was also mentioned that there may be city laws restricting parking on bordering streets which, if enforced, might lead to control of some aspects of the parking demand.

- D. From Vice President Schaefer, a note saying that he would meet with the Senate to discuss communication between the Senate and the various planning groups of the University. Enclosed was a copy of a memorandum to the members of the Council on University Planning offering suggested guidelines for the development of a Master Campus Plan, 1971-1981.

- E. From Dean Williams, a request for a position by the Senate on the changing of final exam times for individual students. At the present time, Dean Williams is holding to a fairly strict line in this respect. On March 20 and March 27, 1968, the Senate discussed the change of exam times for students, but no specific statement was offered. It was moved (Price) and seconded (Ferguson) that the Senate support the policy of being strict about changing final exam times for students. The motion passed with 9 for, none against, and 2 abstaining.
- F. From Vice President Holt, a memorandum regarding lighting on Garfield walks. Lighting has been among the items included in the planning done with the engineers in connection with the road improvements and metal poles overhanging the road area will provide good lighting for the walks. Now, there is a light on a pole near the east broadwalk and another pole near the west broadwalk. These are not permanent and will stay only until work is done on Garfield.

NEW BUSINESS:

- A. The Council of Faculties: A meeting of the representatives to the newly created Council of Faculties will be held February 26 in Springfield for the purposes of orientation and organization. This is an awkward time for both EIU and Western, but it will be held as scheduled by the office of the Executive Secretary to the Board of Governors. Both Chicago State and Northeastern have held a meeting of representatives and will hold a joint meeting of the representatives of the two schools. The representatives of EIU, the alternates, Dr. Ferguson, and the members of the Executive Committee of the Senate will meet Monday, February 24, at 4 p. m. in Coleman Hall to review the proposal which established the Council, to discuss the selection of committee chairmen, to plan lines of communication and authority with the Board of Governors, and to consider plans for action by the Council. Any suggestions which faculty members care to make to the representatives should be sent to the Chairman of the Faculty Senate as soon as possible.

OLD BUSINESS:

- A. Constitution: Article IX, sections a and b came under consideration at this time. The article relates to the By-Laws. Discussion centered about the need to make changes easy or difficult and the possible consequences in either case. It was moved to adopt Section 1 apart from the rest of the Article (Price) and the motion was seconded (Holley). The motion was passed with 9 for and 1 abstention. The section now reads: Section 1--Upon receiving a majority vote of the total membership of the Faculty Senate (i. e. , 8 votes) a by-law shall be adopted unless one-tenth of the faculty by petition ask for a referendum.

It was moved (Price) and seconded (Holley) to approve Section 1a as changed. The vote was 9 for and 1 abstention. Section 1a now reads: Within three weeks after the petition has been received requesting a referendum on the by-law, the Faculty Senate will conduct a vote by secret ballot.

It was moved (Crane) and seconded (Ferguson) to approve Section 1b and to insert "total" between "the" and "faculty" in line 2. The vote was 7 for, 1 against, and 3 abstaining. Section 1b will read: To defeat the proposed by-law adopted by the Faculty Senate, the simple majority of the votes by the total faculty must be in opposition.

Article X, Ratification, was considered next. It was moved (Price) and seconded (Ferguson) to approve Section 1. The vote was 11 for and none against nor abstaining. Section 1 will read: A general faculty meeting shall be called for the purpose of discussing the provisions of this constitution.

Section 2 was discussed at some length because it did not seem to be specific enough for the purposes of ratification. It was moved (Rawls) and seconded (Ferguson) that Section 2 should read: No sooner than one week but no later than two weeks after the above faculty meeting, the faculty will approve or reject this constitution by a secret ballot. The vote was 11 for with no negative votes or abstentions. Section 2 will read as approved.

It was moved (Tingley) and seconded (Price) to approve Section 3 as changed. The vote was 6 for, 4 against, and 1 abstaining. Section 3 will read: The constitution will be considered ratified when approved by a simple majority of the faculty defined above in this document. Discussion on this section was extensive and dealt mainly with the advisability of considering eligible to vote those who have voted in previous elections at the University or declaring eligible only those who meet the definition of faculty in the constitution. Opinions were fairly evenly divided as the vote indicates. Chairman White expressed his dissatisfaction with the vote when it was completed.

The completion of terms for the Senate members currently serving was not clearly stated in the phraseology of Section 4, so after some discussion a new Section 4 was created. It was moved (Price) and seconded (Ferguson) to approve the new Section 4. The motion was approved by a vote of 11 for and none against. Section 4 will read: After the first election of the new Senate, the ten members with uncompleted terms from the previous Senate shall complete the terms for which they were elected.

The change in Section 4 necessitated a renumbering of the section which had been printed as Section 4. With some changes in wording, a new Section 5 was presented. It was moved (Crane) and seconded (Bouknight) that Section 5 be approved as changed. The vote was 11 for and none against. Section 5 will read: The Nominations and Elections Committee of the outgoing Senate shall conduct the first election for the new Senate. The outgoing Senate will set the time and place for the first meeting of the new Senate.

At this point, Chairman White asked Vice Chairman Holley to take the Chair. Dr. White presented a revision of the Preamble of the

Constitution and moved that the Preamble presented at this time be approved by the Senate and sent to the faculty for ratification. The motion was seconded by Dr. Price. The new Preamble reads:

This Constitution is framed with the view that direction of the University is both the right and the responsibility of those most immediately involved in the primary function of the University: the preservation, extension, and dissemination of knowledge. Thus, the premise of this Constitution is that the Faculty Senate, in directing University affairs, must represent and act for the faculty at large. In all actions it may take, the Faculty Senate will be responsive to the common and several needs of faculty, of students, and of all those administrative and non-academic staff without whose services the climate of learning cannot be sustained.

Before a vote was taken, it was moved (Crane) and seconded (Tingley) to table the motion until the next meeting. The vote was 10 for and 2 against.

The meeting adjourned at 9:52 a.m. and the next meeting will be on February 26 at 8 a.m. in the Heritage Room.

CALENDAR

September	University Calendar
October	Welfare
November	Budget
December	-----
January	-----
February	Welfare
March	Committee Nominations
April	Elections
May	Buildings and Grounds

AGENDA

1. Constitution
2. Major and minor committees and councils
3. Overloads

William J. Crane  
Secretary