Journal of Collective Bargaining in the Academy

Volume 0 National Center Proceedings 2014

Article 43

April 2014

Arbitrability and Framing the Issue

Maureen Seidel New York State United Teachers

Follow this and additional works at: https://thekeep.eiu.edu/jcba

Part of the Higher Education Commons, and the Labor Relations Commons

Recommended Citation

Seidel, Maureen (2014) "Arbitrability and Framing the Issue," *Journal of Collective Bargaining in the Academy*: Vol. 0, Article 43. DOI: https://doi.org/10.58188/1941-8043.1359 Available at: https://thekeep.eiu.edu/jcba/vol0/iss9/43

This Proceedings Material is brought to you for free and open access by the Journals at The Keep. It has been accepted for inclusion in Journal of Collective Bargaining in the Academy by an authorized editor of The Keep. For more information, please contact tabruns@eiu.edu.

ARBITRABILITY AND FRAMING THE ISSUE

Mish Unition of Professionals

1S11

Maureen Seidel, Labor Relation Specialist

What is "arbitrability?"

Can the matter be submitted to an arbitrator for decision under the terms of the arbitration clause in the contract?

What is "arbitrability?"

Procedural

- Some condition has not been met:
 - Timeliness
 - Did not follow the pregrievance process
 - Exhaustion of the grievance process

Substantive

- A/K/A "subject matter"
- Does not meet the contractual definition of what can be arbitrated.

Who decides arbitrability?

 General default position is that substantive arbitration is left to the courts and procedural to the arbitrator unless the contract makes it clear otherwise so.

What does the contract say?

Can arbitrability be waived?

Procedural

- Yes, if party asserting it fails to raise it.
- Question of whether there's a waiver if party failed to raise earlier in the grievance process.

Substantive

No. Generally, if an arbitrator had no contractual authority to hear the case, the asserting party's participation in the proceeding will not be a waiver.

Journal of Collective Bargaining in the Academy, Vol. 0, Iss. 9 [2014], Art. 43

The real question:

What has happened between these parties previously?

Seidel: Arbitrability and Framing the Issue

When do you frame the issue?

How do you frame the issue?

What has been the issue in the earlier grievance steps?

- Adding to the claims at the arbitration stage may be violative of the contract.
- Has the grievance been resolved in part?
- Have the facts been resolved in whole or in part?

What do you want?

- Can the parties agree to the issues beforehand?
- Is there a usual way that these parties have submitted their issues?

Remember, your evidence may be limited to the issue you frame or agree to!

Ideas for Framing

- Use neutral language ("when the District did not..." instead of "when the District in bad faith failed to...)
- Identify dates ("when on October 1, 2012, it did not...")
- Identify the contract provisions you are asking to be reviewed (not "the contract as a whole")
- Ask for the arbitrator to issue a remedy
 - But know what his/her authority is regarding the remedy.
- Agreeing to the issue will prevent the arbitrator from coming up with his/her own issue or accepting your adversary's issue. Very perilous!



Representing more than 600,000 professionals in education, human services and health care

800 Troy-Schenectady Road, Latham, NY 12110-2455 518-213-6000 • 800-342-9810

www.nysut.org

New York State United Teachers Affiliated with AFT • NEA • AFL-CIO

The materials herein have been created by or used with the consent of its author(s) for the purpose of the demonstrating principles during the workshop at which it has been shown/distributed. No reproduction, Published by The Keep, 2014 redistribution or other use without consent of its author(s) is authorized.