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ENG 5007 001 (FTF) & 600 (Online):  
Composition Theory and Pedagogy  
3:30-6:00 pm, 3159 Coleman Hall

Tim N. Taylor  
Office: 3785 Coleman Hall  Phone: 581-6309  
Office Hours: MWF 9-10am & M 2-3 or by appointment 
email: tntaylor@eiu.edu

“Whoever does not study rhetoric will be a victim of it.” ~Ancient Greek wall inscription

“Having to say something is a very different matter from having something to say.” ~John Dewey, How We Think

“Great writing is created in revision—rethinking, rewriting, adding, subtracting, repositioning, editing. In effect, fine writing is born in change.” ~Ralph Wahlstrom, The Tao of Writing

Texts
- Your Writing  
- A Guide to Composition Pedagogies, 2nd ed., Eds. Tate, Rupiper Taggart, Schick, & Hessler  
- Cross-Talk in Comp Theory: A Reader, 3rd ed., Ed. Villanueva  
- Articles and excerpts provided via email

Official Course Description & Student Learning Objectives
This seminar focuses on theories and pedagogies of teaching college writing. Students will explore diverse composition pedagogies, be introduced to the various theoretical influences that have shaped the teaching of college writing, and learn about the history of Composition/Rhetoric as a discipline.

The official student learning objectives are the following:
- Demonstrate breadth and depth of knowledge about the history, theories, and movements within Rhetoric and Composition  
- Analyze and synthesize diverse composition theories  
- Demonstrate preparation to apply composition theories and pedagogies to one’s own teaching  
- Demonstrate effective oral and written communication through leading discussions and formal presentations  
- Demonstrate the ability to produce a research article that could lead to presentation or publication

Other Information about the Course
In addition to the official objectives, participants should also do the following:
To schedule an appointment, you can drop by the center (3110 Coleman Hall) or you can call 581-5929. The writing center is open Monday through Thursday, 9 a.m. to 3 p.m. during the final two weeks of the four-week summer session. Consulting sessions last anywhere from 10 to 40 minutes.

Class Conduct
This classroom community demands good manners, careful listening, respect for diverse backgrounds and opinions, and equal time for everyone who wants to share his or her perspective.

I expect students to act in a mature and collegial manner. You should come to class having read the material, eager to participate, and ready to write.

Guidelines to Abide by in Any College Course:
1. Come to class on time and stay the entire period.
2. Bring texts, paper, and writing utensils.
3. When we discuss a reading or writing assignment, have the materials in front of you.
4. When we are doing in-class writing, be prepared to actively brainstorm, draft, and compose your ideas.
5. Have opinions and ideas and support your opinions and ideas with details and examples.
6. Play well with others by respecting people’s opinions and being open to them.
7. Actively help your peers in collaborative activities like peer review.
8. When working in a group, stay on assigned tasks.
9. Do not carry on side conversations with other students when the instructor or a student has the floor during class.
10. General rudeness and disrespect is not tolerated.
11. Cell phones need to be turned off and put away.
12. Texting is not tolerated.
13. No profanity.
14. No sleeping. If you do, I will ask you to leave.
15. Unless it’s an emergency, use the restroom before or after class.

If you have concerns about this list or any other aspect of the class, please arrange to discuss the matter with us during office hours. Similarly, if circumstances arise that will affect your performance in this class, let me know as soon as possible.

Email Policy
I welcome emails if you have questions or concerns about your work in this class. However, I expect you to write emails in a professional manner—not like you are texting a close friend.

When you send an email, follow the guidelines below. Emails should...
- Have a clear and concise subject line that provides gist of the email, such as “Question about Today’s Reading” or “Availability for a Meeting?”
- Begin with a formal address, such as “Dr. Taylor:” or “Professor Taylor:” or “Mr. Taylor”
- Use a respectful tone
Provide questions or information in a succinct manner
Use paragraph breaks for reading ease and strong organization
Be edited and proofread effectively so as not to cause confusion
Refrain from using abbreviations or text-prose
Close with a short statement followed by a comma and your name, such as “Thanks for your time,” or “Sincerely,” or “Have a good weekend,”

If an email does not follow these guidelines, I will simply reply to the email with this message: “Please resend this email once it’s been revised to fit the standards of a professional email. See pages 3-4 of my course policy.”

Academic Integrity & a Social Contract of Honesty
Students are expected to maintain principles of academic integrity and conduct as defined in EIU’s Code of Conduct. Violations will be reported to the Office of Student Standards.

In this class, there is a social contract between the instructor and students that the work submitted will be the students’ own documents, not someone else’s work.

Academic Honesty and Plagiarism
Here is the official statement on plagiarism by the EIU English Department: “Any teacher who discovers an act of plagiarism—“The appropriation or imitation of the language, ideas, and/or thoughts of another author, and representation of them as one’s own original work” (Random House Dictionary of the English Language)—has the right and responsibility to impose upon the guilty student an appropriate penalty, up to and including immediate assignments of a grade of F for the assigned essay and a grade of F for the course, and to report the incident to the Judicial Affairs Office. Respect for the work of others should encompass all formats, including print, electronic, and oral sources. “In this class, if a student is found to have plagiarized, the document will earn a zero for the assignment, and I will report the occurrence to the EIU Judicial Affairs Office.

In general, if you have to have a source before your eyes as you write, you need to copy it accurately, put quotation marks around it, and acknowledge your source. If you are paraphrasing information from a source, you need to use an introductory phrase and properly cite what page or paragraph (if it’s online) the information is located. For further clarification, below is an explanation of plagiarism from my previous English department that details this important concept.

Plagiarism
To present someone else’s work or ideas as one’s own is plagiarism. A student can commit in these ways:

- Copying, word for word, someone else’s writing without putting that passage in quotation marks and identifying the source.
- Taking someone else’s writing, changing some of the words, and not identifying the source;
- Taking someone else’s ideas or organization of ideas, putting them into his/her own words and not identifying the source;
• Having someone else change the student’s writing—a tutor, friend, or relative, for instance—and creating the impression that this is the student’s own work; or
• Purchasing or downloading papers or passages from the Web.

Students with Disabilities
If you are a student with a documented disability in need of accommodations to fully participate in this class, please contact the Office of Student Disability Services (OSDS). All accommodations must be approved through OSDS. Please stop by Ninth Street Hall, Room 2006 or call 217-581-6583 to make an appointment.

The Student Success Center
Students who are having difficulty achieving their academic goals are encouraged to contact the Student Success Center for assistance with time management, test taking, note taking, avoiding procrastination, setting goals, and other skills to support academic achievement. The Student Success Center provides individualized consultations. To make an appointment, call 217-581-6696 or go to 9th Street Hall, Room 1302.
## Composition of the Overall Grade
assignments and point totals are tentative

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participation (FtF)</th>
<th>200</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Discussion, in-class writing, and small group work</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discussion Leader on an article</td>
<td>2 x 50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participation (Online)</th>
<th>TBD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Discussion posts and replies via D2L</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 points per post and reply</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Small Writing Assignments (FtF)</th>
<th>170</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Memo of Introduction</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reaction Memos (10 @ 10 pts. each)</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prospectus for the Seminar Project</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feedback Memo</td>
<td>. 10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Small Writing Assignments (Online)</th>
<th>170</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Memo of Introduction</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesson Plans (2 @ 50 points each)</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prospectus for the Seminar Project</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feedback Memo</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Large Writing Assignments (Both FTF &amp; Online)</th>
<th>300</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Synthesis Paper</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seminar Project</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

670 (FTF) or 470+TBD (Online) points

### Grading Scale for Formal Documents:

- **100-92% = A**
- **91-90% = A-**
- **89-88 = B+**
- **87-82 = B**
- **81-80 = B-**
- **79-78 = C+**
- **77-72 = C**
- **71-70 = C-**
- **69-68 = D+**
- **67-62 = D**
- **61-60 = D-**

### Overall Grading Scale:

- **100-90% = A**
- **89-80 = B**
- **79-70 = C**
- **69-60 = D**
- **59 and below = F**
Bruffee, “Collaborative Learning and the ‘Conversation of Mankind’” (395-416 CT)
Bartholomae, “Inventing the University” (523-54 CT)

**Week 7**

- **9/30**
  - **Genre**
  - Devitt, “Genre Pedagogies” (146-62 G)
  - Clark, “A Genre Approach to Writing Assignments”
  - #Reiff and Bawarshi, “Tracing Discursive Resources”
  - #Bawarshi and Reiff, excerpts from *Genre*

**Week 8**

- **10/7**
  - **Critical**
  - George, “Critical Pedagogies” (77-93 G)
  - Ronald and Roskelley, “Untested Feasibility: Imagining a Pragmatic Possibility of Paulo Freire”
  - Shor, “Why Teach About Social Class?”
  - #Shor, “Critical Pedagogy is Too Big to Fail”
  - #Hairston, “Diversity, Ideology, and Teaching Writing”

**Week 9**

- **10/14**
  - **Cultural Studies**
  - George, Lockridge, and Trimbur, “Cultural Studies and Composition” (94-110 G)
  - Ruggles Gere, “Kitchen Tables and Rented Rooms”
  - #Trimbur, “Cultural Studies and the Teaching of Writing”

**Week 10**

- **10/21**
  - **Feminist**
  - Micciche, “Feminist Pedagogies” (128-45 G)
  - Flynn, “Composing as a Woman” (581-95 CT)
  - #Ritchie and Boardman, “Feminism in Composition” (597-619 CT)
  - Lesson Plan 1 due (Online)

**Week 11**

- **10/28**
  - **Teaching for Transfer**
  - Sommers and Saltz, “The Novice as Expert”
  - Beaufort, excerpts from *College Writing and Beyond*
  - Addison & James McGee, “Writing in High School/Writing in College”
  - #Wardle, “Creative Repurposing of Expansive Learning”
  - #Yancey, Robertson, and Taczak, excerpts from *Writing Across Contexts*
ENG 5007 Syllabus

All readings and deadlines are tentative, so they're subject to change if warranted.

G = A Guide to Composition Pedagogies
CT = Cross-Talk in Comp Theory
# = Handout/Email/Link
DL = Discussion Leader

Week 1
8/19
Introduction to the Course
Course Policies, Assignments, and Goals
Introduction (1-11, 15-17 G)

Process & Cognition
Anson, “Process and Its Legacy” (212-30 G)
#Murray, “Writing as Process” from Eight Approaches...
#Eschholz, “The Prose Model Approach” from Eight Approaches...

Week 2
8/26
Murray, “Teach Writing as a Process Not Product” (3-6 CT)
Emig, “Writing as a Mode of Learning” (7-15 CT)
Ede and Lunsford, “Audience Addressed/Audience Invoked” (77-95 CT)
Flower and Hayes, “A Cognitive Process Theory of Writing” (253-78 CT)
Bizzell, “Cognition, Convention, and Certainty” (367-91 CT)
Memo of Introduction due

Week 3
Online
Farris, “Literature and Composition” (163-76 G)

Week 4
9/9
The Rhetorical Approach & Argumentation
#Lauer, “The Rhetorical Approach” from Eight Approaches...
Fleming, “Rhetoric and Argumentation” (248-65 G)
Kinneavy, “The Basic Aims of Discourse” (129-39 CT)
#Murphy, “What is Rhetoric and What Can It Do for Writers and Readers?”
#Booth, “The Rhetorical Stance”

Week 5
9/16
Expressive
Burnham and Powell, “Expressive Pedagogy” (111-27 G)
#Murray, “Write Before Writing”
#Macrorie, excerpts from Up taught
#Elbow, “Being a Writer vs. Being an Academic”
DL: Angie
#Stotsky, “The Uses and Limitations of Personal or Personalized Writing in Writing, Research, and Instruction”
DL: Elmira

Week 6
9/23
Collaborative Learning & Academic Discourse
Kennedy and Moore Howard, “Collaborative Writing, Print to Digital” (37-54 G)
Bruffee, “Collaborative Learning and the ‘Conversation of Mankind’” (395-416 CT)
Bartholomae, “Inventing the University” (523-54 CT)
#The WPA Outcomes Statement for First-Year Composition
#NCTE’s Teaching Composition: A Position Statement
# The Framework for Success in Postsecondary Writing:

**Week 7**  
9/30  
*Genre*  
Devitt, “Genre Pedagogies” (146-62 G)  
*Clark, “A Genre Approach to Writing Assignments”*  
**DL: Angie**  
*Reiff and Bawarshi, “Tracing Discursive Resources”*  
**DL: Elmira**  
*Bawarshi and Reiff, excerpts from Genre*  

**Week 8**  
10/7  
*Critical*  
George, “Critical Pedagogies” (77-93 G)  
*Ronald and Roskelley, “Untested Feasibility: Imagining a Pragmatic Possibility of Paulo Freire”*  
*Shor, “Why Teach About Social Class?”*  
**DL: Samantha**  
*Shor, “Critical Pedagogy is Too Big to Fail”*  
*Hairston, “Diversity, Ideology, and Teaching Writing”*  
**DL: Connor**  

**Week 9**  
10/14  
*Cultural Studies*  
George, Lockridge, and Trimbur, “Cultural Studies and Composition” (94-110 G)  
*Ruggles Gere, “Kitchen Tables and Rented Rooms”*  
**DL: Landon**  
*Trimbur, “Cultural Studies and the Teaching of Writing”*  

**Week 10**  
10/21  
*Feminist*  
Micciche, “Feminist Pedagogies” (128-45 G)  
Flynn, “Composing as a Woman” (581-95 CT)  
**DL: Samantha**  
*Ritchie and Boardman, “Feminism in Composition” (597-619 CT)*  
*Lesson Plan 1 due (Online)*  

**Week 11**  
10/28  
*Teaching for Transfer*  
#Sommers and Saltz, “The Novice as Expert”  
#Beaufort, excerpts from College Writing and Beyond  
#Addison & James McGee, “Writing in High School/Writing in College”  
**DL: Karen**  
#Wardle, “Creative Repurposing of Expansive Learning”  
**DL: Connor**  
#Yancey, Robertson, and Taczk, excerpts from Writing Across Contexts  
*Prospectus for Seminar Project due*  

**Week 12**  
10/4  
*Research, Sources, & Paragraphs*  
Moore Howard and Jamieson, “Researched Writing” (231-47 G)  
*Kantz, “Helping Students Use Textual Sources Persuasively”*  
*Rodgers, “A Discourse-Centered Rhetoric of the Paragraph” (175-88 CT)*  
#Duncan, “Whatever Happened to the Paragraph?”  

**Week 13**  
11/4  
*New Media*  

Taylor
Brooke, “New Media Pedagogy” (177-93 G)
Yancey, “Made Not Only in Words” (791-826 CT)
Wysocki and Johnson-Eilola, “Blinded by the Letter” (717-38 CT)

Peer Review of the Synthesis Paper

Week 14

Writing Across the Curriculum/Writing in the Disciplines
McLeod, “Writing in the Disciplines and Across the Curriculum” (283-300 G)
Knoblauch and Brannon, “Writing as Learning Through the Curriculum”
Melzer, Writing Assignments Across the Curriculum: A National Study of College Writing
Salem and Jones. “Undaunted, Self-Critical, and Resentful: Investigating Faculty Attitudes Toward Teaching Writing in a Large University Writing-Intensive Program”

Lesson Plan 2 due (Online)

Thanksgiving Recess

Week 15

Community-Engaged & Service-Learning
Julier, Livingston, and Goldblatt, “Community-Engaged Pedagogies” (55-76 G)
Deans, excerpt from Writing Partnerships
Cushman, “The Public Intellectual, Service Learning, and Activist Research” (509-18 CT)
DL: Landon
Adler-Kassner, “Digging a Groundwork for Writing: Underprepared Students and Community Service Courses”
DL: Karen

Conferences this week about the Seminar Project

Final

Seminar Project & Feedback Memo due
Name:
Discussion Leader Grade Sheet

CONTENT GOALS /20
The direction of discussion was relevant, interesting, well plotted, and thought provoking.
The time spent on the article exemplified active learning.
Main points and important specific details were clearly presented and/or worked with.
The discussion leader was knowledgeable about the article and topic.
The facilitator used appropriate supporting material (if relevant).

ORGANIZATION GOALS /20
The discussion leader had a clear plan and executed it effectively.
The discussion and/or activities were cogent and cohesive.
The facilitator stuck to the topic and the article at hand.
Transitions were used to move from one point and/or speaker to the next.

ORAL COMMUNICATION GOALS /10
The discussion leader appeared interested in the article, the topic or themes, and what the audience had to contribute.
The facilitator solicited and integrated audience involvement.
The discussion leader was engaged and audible.
The leader displayed good listening skills.
Visual and vocal distractions were avoided.
The discussion leader used notes well and improvised effectively when necessary.