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Identification of high responders for interleukin-6 and creatine kinase following acute eccentric resistance exercise in elderly obese women

Vitor Tajra, Ramires Alsamir Tibana, Denis Cesar Leite Vieira, Darlan Lopes de Farias, Tatiane Gomes Teixeira, Silvana Schwerz Funghetto, Alessandro Oliveira Silva, Nuno Manuel Frade de Sousa, Jeffrey Willardson, Margô Gomes Oliveira Karnikowski, Jonato Prestes

Abstract

Objectives: Resistance exercise is used as a non-pharmacological tool to elicit both gains in and maintenance of physical function in the elderly. Thus, the present study examined the acute response of creatine kinase and interleukin-6 following an eccentric resistance exercise session in elderly obese women classified as high responders or normal responders.

Design: Cross-sectional field study.

Methods: Ninety elderly obese women (69.4 ± 6.01 years) were tested for a 10 repetition maximum on the leg extension exercise and then completed an acute eccentric resistance exercise session consisting of seven sets of 10 repetitions at 110% of 10 repetition maximum with a rest of 3 min between sets. Subjects were divided into normal response or high response on the basis of the peak serum interleukin-6 (NR = 59 and HR = 7) and creatine kinase (NR = 81 and HR = 9) concentration being greater than (HR) or less than (NR) the 90th percentile.

Results: Creatine kinase was higher at 0 h, 3 h, 24 h and 48 h following the ERE for the HR group. The peak creatine kinase was significantly higher in HR group versus the normal response group. The average increase in the serum interleukin-6 for the HR group (~850%) was significantly higher versus the normal response group (~55%). Serum interleukin-6 was significantly higher at 0 h and 24 h following eccentric resistance exercise only for the high response group, while peak levels were significantly higher in high response group versus the normal response group (p ≤ 0.005). Only one subject met the criteria to be classified as high response for both creatine kinase and interleukin-6 responsiveness.

Conclusions: Elderly individuals classified as high response experienced greater creatine kinase and interleukin-6 responses to ERE. Thus, a prudent approach for eccentric resistance exercise prescription might be programming additional recovery days and/or lower intensity training, especially in the beginning stages of a program.

1. Introduction
Resistance exercise (RE) is used as a non-pharmacological tool to elicit both gains in and maintenance of physical function in the elderly; specific RE training methods need further exploration to determine the best application and the risks for this population. It is well known that RE can lead to muscle microtrauma, which is an important stimulus for muscular growth. Creatine kinase (CK) concentration has been measured to assess the extent of muscle microtrauma following RE. It was noted in previous research that some individuals expressed disproportionately greater CK concentration following the same volume of RE and were categorized as high responders (HR).

It has been shown that eccentric exercise can induce greater muscle damage as indicated by greater CK concentration. More-over, there is an association between the acute inflammatory response and the muscle damage induced by eccentric exercise. It is known that myofibers express interleukin-6 (IL-6), a key cytokine expressed in the inflammatory response, which is involved with satellite cell proliferation, differentiation, and muscle repair. Considering this, it would be of great interest to investigate whether individuals may express different IL-6 responsiveness following a given RE stimulus, as was previously demonstrated for CK concentration.

Interestingly, elderly individuals preserve eccentric strength more readily than concentric strength. Evidence suggests that eccentric resistance exercise (ERE) can be an excellent method to utilize during the initial stages of a resistance training program in the elderly; due to the lower perceived exertion versus conventional resistance exercise. The higher efficiency of eccentric resistance exercise (i.e. intense muscle work is achieved at a lower metabolic expense), renders it as a powerful tool for restoring muscle strength in people with a limited capacity to train at high intensities such as older adults. Thus, investigating the acute CK and IL-6 responses to eccentric resistance exercise in older adults, and the potential for individuals to express different levels of responsiveness for these markers, could provide valuable information for the prescription of RE training for this population.

To the best of our knowledge, no study has investigated CK concentration and IL-6 responses following eccentric resistance exercise in older obese individuals, and further assessed the possible existence of differing responsiveness in the IL-6 marker. Thus, the purpose of the present study was to examine CK and IL-6 concentration responses following eccentric resistance exercise in elderly obese women, and to assess the existence of high responders and normal responders (NR) for these markers. Our hypothesis was that serum CK and IL-6 would be higher following eccentric resistance exercise for those individuals classified as high responders, and there would be no significant increase in CK and IL-6 in elderly individuals classified as normal responders.

2. Methods
Ninety elderly women from a local community (69.4 ± 6.01 years of age, 152.6 ± 6.2 cm in height, body mass of 64.6 ± 12.1 kg, lean mass of 58.8 ± 5.5%, and body fat of 41.2 ± 5.5%) were recruited to participate in the present study on a voluntary basis. Individuals were included according to the following criteria: age ≥ 60 years, sedentary elderly females, body fat percentage > 32% and completion of all testing procedures. The list of medications used by the individuals included omeprazole (gastrointestinal tract/metabolism), losartan (cardiovascular system), levothyroxin, furosemide (diuretic) and simvastatin (cholesterol control). Obesity was determined as recommended by the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases 15, assuming a cutoff point of 32% for women. Sedentary state was evaluated by the International Physical Activity Questionnaire. Women with inflammatory, rheumatic, or autoimmune conditions or use of medications (i.e., beta blockers, hormone replacement therapy, selective estrogen receptor modulators, anti-inflammatory, insulin, fish oil and multivitamin supplements) that could modulate the biochemical response to RE were excluded. The study was approved by the Institutional Research Ethics Committee (protocol#035/2011), and all subjects gave written, informed consent.

High responders for CK concentration were defined as a ∆CK ≥ 90th percentile or 96.3 U/L, according to the definition of a “rare event” as compared with normal responders.16,17 According to Toft et al., 18 plasma IL-6 concentrations increased approximately four-fold immediately following eccentric muscle actions in young subjects (20–27 years); whereas, the increase was much smaller in elderly subjects (67–75 years) (approximately 90% increase following eccentric exercise consisting of 60 min of opposing the rotation of cycle ergometer pedals down to 60 rpm). In this way, we assumed that the ∆IL-6 ≥ 90th percentile or 7.5 pg/ml was a valid criterion to define HR for this marker.

A ten repetition-maximum (10 RM) test was performed according to the recommendations of Tibana et al.19. On the first visit, subjects completed a medical form and physical questionnaire, anthropometric measures, dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA, General Electric-GE model 8548 BX1L, 2005, Lunar DPX type, software Encore 2005, Rommelsdorf, Germany) body composition analysis, and completed a familiarization session on a leg extension isoinertial machine (Righetto, Sao Paulo, Brazil) that involved performance of three sub-maximum sets of 8–10 repetitions. Three days later, subjects performed a 10 RM test and following 72 h of rest they completed the 10 RM test again to determine test-retest reliability (R = 0.99). The test was terminated when voluntary concentric failure occurred (inability to perform a full range repetition of the movement as a consequence of fatigue). As described previously by Tibana et al.,19 testing errors were minimized by the following strategies: (a) standardized instructions were given concerning all data collection procedures; (b) exercise technique and leg extension machine adjustments were standardized for each subject; and (c) subjects were given verbal encouragement throughout testing. Rest intervals of 3–5 min were instituted between 10 RM trials. Moreover, subjects were asked not to ingest any stimulants (e.g. caffeine) or perform any physical activity during the week prior to testing.
Seven days following the 10 RM tests, subjects completed an eccentric resistance exercise (ERE) protocol adapted from Willoughby et al.20 Upon arrival at the lab, subjects began with a warm-up on a cycle ergometer for 10 min at 60 rpm and 50 W, followed by 10 leg extension repetitions at 50% of the 10 RM, and then a rest interval of 3–5 min. The ERE session was performed on the bilateral knee extension iso inertial machine with a load corresponding to 110% of the 10 RM. Subjects performed only the eccentric phase of the lift (2–3 s); at the end of each eccentric repetition, the researcher moved the load through the concentric portion of the range of motion to begin the next eccentric repetition. Subjects completed seven sets of 10 repetitions with a passive rest of 3 min between sets. The 10 RM trials and ERE session were scheduled between 2:00 and 4:00 pm and were performed under standardized controlled room temperature. The knee extension exercise was chosen because the investigation of lower limb strength in the elderly is particularly important, considering that it is particularly affected by sarcopenia and loss of functionality.21

Blood samples were drawn from an antecubital vein by venipuncture to determine whole blood CK and IL-6 concentration pre- and 3, 24, and 48 h post exercise. CK concentration was determined by use of a commercially available Reflotron CKassay using the Reflotron system (Boehringer Mannheim GmbH, Mannheim, Germany). IL-6 concentration was measured by Quantikine or Quantikine high sensitivity commercial enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay Kit (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA). The intra-assay coefficient of variation of the kits was 1.5–5.6% for IL-6. The interassay coefficient of variation was 4.3–6.4% for IL-6. The measures for CK and IL-6 were performed in triplicate and averaged.

The data are expressed as means (95% confidence interval). Shapiro–Wilk tests were applied to check for normality in distribution of the variables assessed. In case of non-normal distribution, the variables were log transformed to approximate a normal distribution. The difference between base-line CK and peak CK concentration (the highest value achieved at 0, 3, 24, or 48 h for each subject), or ΔCK, was considered the response following exposure to the ERE. The difference between baseline IL-6 and peak IL-6 concentration (at 0, 3, 24, or 48 h), or ΔIL-6, was considered the response following exposure to the ERE. The achieved power of the sample size was determined using G*Power version3.1.3 (Kiel, Germany), based on the differences between baseline and peak concentrations of CK and IL-6 between the HR and NR groups. For CK sample size (n = 90), the effect size d was large and the power was 0.99. For IL-6 sample size (n = 66), the effect size d was also large and the power was 0.98. The anthropometric data, CK and IL-6 peak values following the ERE were compared between the HR and NR groups using independent t-tests.

A mixed model ANOVA was used to compare the differences in CK and IL-6 concentration between groups at pre-exercise and over the course of 48 h post-exercise. Compound sphericity was verified by the Mauchley test. When the assumption of sphericity was not met, the significance of F-ratios was adjusted
according to the Greenhouse–Geisser procedure. Simple main effects were used to
determine the difference between groups at each time point and to determine the
difference between time points within each group. The level of significance was \( p \leq 0.05 \) and SPSS version 20.0 (Somers, NY, USA) software was used.

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Creatine kinase (CK)</th>
<th>Interleukin-6 (IL-6)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NR (n=81)</td>
<td>HR (n=9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>69.8 (66.4–71.1)</td>
<td>66.2 (62.3–70.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>152.6 (151.3–153.9)</td>
<td>153.8 (147.6–160.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>64.4 (61.5–67.3)</td>
<td>63.0 (59.6–68.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body fat (%)</td>
<td>41.3 (40.4–42.7)</td>
<td>40.9 (37.6–42.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 RM (kg)</td>
<td>35.5 (34.5–38.4)</td>
<td>40.0 (31.3–48.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \Delta \text{CK} ) (U/l)</td>
<td>22.5 (17.3–27.8)</td>
<td>233.4 (190.0–316.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \Delta \text{IL-6} ) (pg/ml)</td>
<td>2.5 (1.6–3.4)</td>
<td>8.2 (4.2–13.12)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NR, normal responders; HR, high responders; \( \Delta \), delta (change); 10 RM, ten repetitions maximum.
*Significantly different versus the NR group \( (p \leq 0.05) \).

### 3. Results

Among the 90 subjects, nine (10.0%) were classified as HR according to our pre-determined statistical criteria for increases in serum CK concentration. Mean values for the NR and HR groups, based on CK responsiveness, are shown in Table 1. The mean increase in serum CK concentration \( (\Delta \text{CK}) \) for the HR group, 233.4 (95% CI: 150.0–316.9) U/l, was significantly greater \( (p < 0.001) \) than the NR group, 22.5 (95% CI: 17.3–27.8) U/l. All other variables (age, height, weight, percentage body fat, leg extension 10 RM, and \( \Delta \text{IL-6} \)) were not significantly different between groups based on CK responsiveness. The time-course of serum CK in the HR and NR groups are shown in Fig. 1. There was a statistically significant interaction between the groups and time on serum CK concentration, \( F(3.445, 303.151) = 18.275, p < 0.001 \). The CK concentration increased over the time following ERE for the HR group while no significant differences were noted for the NR group across time points. No significant differences between groups were noted in the base-line serum CK concentration (NR, 97.6 (95% CI: 87.9–107.3) U/l and Fig. 1. Mean (95% confidence interval) serum creatine kinase in normal (NR) and high (HR) responder groups prior to and at 0, 3, 24 and 48 h following the eccentric resistance exercise session. *Significantly different versus the NR group \( (p \leq 0.05) \).HR, 127.4 (95% CI: 72.0–182.9) U/l; \( p = 0.15 \). However, significantly greater serum CK concentration was noted for the HR group at 0 h, 3 h, 24 h and 48 h following the ERE \( (p < 0.001) \). The peak CK concentration was significantly greater in the HR group versus the NR group (HR, 360.9 (95% CI: 253.0–468.7) U/l and NR, 120.1 (95% CI: 110.4–129.9) U/l; \( p < 0.001 \)).

The IL-6 concentration at baseline and following ERE was assessed in 66 women. Seven (10.6%) were classified as HR according to our predetermined statistical criteria. Interestingly, only one subject met the criteria to be classified as HR for both CK and IL-6 responsiveness. Mean values for the HR and NR groups, based on IL-6 responsiveness, are shown in Table 1. The mean increase in the serum IL-6 \( (\text{IL-6} \Delta) \) for the HR group, 15.6 (95% CI: 4.6–26.6) pg/ml or approximately 850%,
was significantly greater (p < 0.001) than the NR group, 1.6 (95% CI: 1.2–2.1) pg/ml or approximately 55%. When based on IL-6 responsiveness, age was significantly greater in the HR versus the NR group (p = 0.01) and the percentage body fat for the HR group was significantly less versus the NR group (p = 0.02). The time-course of serum IL-6 increases in the HR and NR groups are shown in Fig. 2. There was a statistically significant interaction between the groups and time on serum IL-6 concentration, F(1.976, 80.998) = 9.327, p < 0.001. The IL-6 concentration increased immediately following ERE and started to decrease over time until reaching baseline values 48 h after the ERE for the HR group, while no significant differences were noted for the NR group across time points. No significant differences between groups were noted in the baseline serum IL-6 concentration (NR, 5.3 (95% CI: 3.5–7.0) pg/ml and HR, 3.8 (95% CI: −6.2–13.7) pg/ml; p = 0.47). However, significantly greater serum IL-6 concentration was noted in the HR group at 0 h (p = 0.01) and 24 h (p = 0.02) following the ERE. There were no significant differences between groups in serum IL-6 concentration at 3 h (p = 0.08) and 48 h (p = 0.95) following the ERE. The peak IL-6 concentration was significantly greater in the HR group versus the NR group (HR, 17.5 (95% CI: 3.4–31.7) pg/ml and NR, 6.6 (95% CI: 5.0–8.2) pg/ml; p < 0.001).

4. Discussion

The key finding from the present study was the existence of an HR group for IL-6 in elderly obese women following the performance of ERE. The classification for the HR group in the present study was a ΔIL-6 concentration ≥ 90th percentile or 7.5 pg/ml. Specifically, the percentage increase in IL-6 response for subjects below the 90th percentile was 55%; whereas, the percentage increase for subjects above the 90th percentile (seven of the 66 women) was 850%. The HR group exhibited significantly greater IL-6 concentrations at 0 h and 24 h following the ERE versus the NR group, also the peak IL-6 and ΔIL-6 concentrations were significantly greater for the HR group versus the NR group. Moreover, when considering the ΔCK, nine of the 90 elderly women were included in the ≥90th percentile with CK concentrations at least 96.3 U/l. The HR group exhibited significantly greater CK concentrations versus the NR group at 0 h, 3 h, 24 h and 48 h following the ERE. Additionally, the ΔCK and peak CK concentrations were significantly greater for the HR group versus the NR group. These results confirmed our initial hypothesis.
The increase in IL-6 following exercise may depend on factors such as age, body composition, and genetically expressed variation in the inflammatory response, including greater expression of toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4), that may induce greater transcription of inflammatory cytokines.22 Thus, it is important to determine if any immune factors affect the transcription of other inflammatory immune factors and if a person that is considered a HR for one factor would be a HR for others. We found that the HR group for IL-6 was older and had a lower body fat percentage as compared with the NR group. Although adipose tissue is an important endocrine organ responsible for the release of pro-inflammatory markers,23 in the present study all women were elderly and obese. These two factors may account for an increased release of IL-6, as obesity and aging are associated with increased basal levels of IL-6 and risk for chronic diseases.24 It has been shown that IL-6 values ≥ 2.08 pg/ml was associated with a higher mortality rate of 50 by 1000 per year in elderly individuals.25 In the present study base-line values of IL-6 for both groups were high (3.8–4.3 pg/ml). Thus, attention should be given to HR elderly women when prescribing ERE, as they may reach significantly higher IL-6 levels 24 h following an exercise session.

The source of acute elevation in IL-6 immediately following ERE could be related to muscle contractions from muscle fibers, being considered a myokine. When released into the circulation, IL-6 can promote metabolic modulations in several organs in a hormone-like fashion, such as hepatic glucose production during exercise or lipolysis in adipose tissue. Within skeletal muscle, IL-6 also activates metabolic pathways to increase glucose uptake and fat oxidation.26 The acute release of IL-6 following exercise may also produce anti-inflammatory effects revealed by the production of the classical anti-inflammatory cytokines IL-1ra and IL-10.26

Interestingly, Bruunsgaard et al.27 found that centenarians and 81-year-old subjects have significantly higher plasma concentrations of IL-6 compared with a young control group (18–30 years). However, there was no difference in IL-6 levels between individuals of 55–65 years and octogenarians. Thus, it is possible that the difference in age between NR (68.6 years) versus HR (75.4 years) did not affect IL-6 results. Toft et al.18 submitted ten elderly, all healthy and not taking any medication, to eccentric exercise consisting of 60 min of opposing the rotation of cycle ergometer pedals down to 60 rpm. Post-exercise values of IL-6 were found to be very similar to those observed in the NR group from the present study, while the HR group had higher values of IL-6 in response to ERE.

Regarding CK, similar results were found in two previous studies that used resistance exercise, consisting of multiple exercises exercises for 3 sets at a 10 RM load4 or a single exercise for 4 sets at 85% of a 1 repetition maximum (1RM).5 Machado et al.5 reported a very high CK response (i.e. approximately 2500 U/l in an HR group) with a relatively low volume of work. In the present study, despite the ERE protocol, which is suggested to induce greater muscle damage,6 peak values of CK increased to 361 U/l for the HR group. This difference
may be due to distinct genders, age, genetics or physical training status; aspects that need to be elucidated in future studies. To note, the adopted protocol for ERE induced no alarming CK responses, which could be associated with excessive muscle dam-age and acute rhabdomyolysis.

Comparisons with other studies are very difficult due to a large degree of inconsistency in the exercise protocols utilized and the individuality in the CK response. Some studies were designed to induce muscle damage and were performed with unusual training methodologies, which may not reflect resistance training under practical conditions. The specific muscle group examined can also affect the magnitude of the CK response, as arm eccentric exercise induced larger decreases and a slower recovery of strength, and larger increases in blood markers of muscle damage (including CK) versus leg exercise.28

Considering that only one subject met the criteria to be classified as HR for both CK and IL-6 responsiveness, it can be hypothesized that these blood markers are unrelated, and that the mechanisms behind the rise in each are different. Also, IL-6 was measured in the circulation and it is possible that a different physiological environment could be present in the skeletal muscle, as Buford et al.29 showed that skeletal muscle has a significant accumulation of transcripts for pro-inflammatory genes (TNF-α, IL-1, IL-6 and IL-8) 3 h following the completion of a resistance exercise bout. Moreover, if the increase in CK was a result of changes in membrane permeability rather than muscle damage, this might explain the lack of association between CK and IL-6. Alternatively, any association might have been confounded by the delay in the elimination of CK from the extracellular compartment due to the ratio of the enzyme's life-span to biological half-life.30

In the present study we utilized an ERE protocol because the reserve of eccentric strength, albeit variable, could be used, in combination with a greater magnitude of force development during eccentric muscle actions, allowing for a higher training intensity thus maximizing gains in muscle function in older adults.10 This reinforces the importance of ERE for frail elderly individuals exhibiting low muscular and cardiorespiratory fitness.

The present study had some limitations that should be considered, such as the genetic variability of the population examined, lack of morphological analysis of muscle damage and diet was not controlled (although they were advised to maintain their normal dietary intake). Moreover, the inclusion of a non-obese group of elderly women could be of value.

5. Conclusions

Only one subject was HR for both CK and IL-6, this implies that, although both blood markers are associated with muscular microtrauma, an individual presenting increased responsiveness of CK may not necessarily have a greater inflammatory response with respect to IL-6. Thus, we propose that multiple blood markers should
be analyzed in response to exercise to improve the understanding of the muscle damage response. Considering the longer time necessary to recover from an exercise session in elderly, these results could have implications for ERE prescription in that some individuals might require more days to recover and/or a lighter training, especially in the beginning stages of a resistance training program.

Practical implications

Both serum IL-6 and CK were higher for the HR versus NR, this information should be considered during the pre-participation screening process in exercise programs and training prescription to avoid excessive damage to muscle tissue and inflammation. Our data emphasize the need for specific safety cut-off point for circulating values of IL-6 and CK response to ERE. A prudent approach for ERE prescription might be programming additional recovery days and/or lower intensity training, especially in the beginning stages of a program for HR individuals.
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